

## ON A PARTITION PROBLEM OF FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

ZHENHUA QU

(Received 7 January 2015; accepted 21 February 2015; first published online 29 April 2015)

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group and  $A \subseteq G$ . For  $n \in G$ , denote by  $r_A(n)$  the number of ordered pairs  $(a_1, a_2) \in A^2$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 = n$ . Among other things, we prove that for any odd number  $t \geq 3$ , it is not possible to partition  $G$  into  $t$  disjoint sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  with  $r_{A_1} = r_{A_2} = \dots = r_{A_t}$ .

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 11B34; secondary 20K01.

Keywords and phrases: representation function, partition, finite abelian group.

### 1. Introduction

We use  $\mathbb{N}$  to denote the set of nonnegative integers. Let  $G$  be an abelian semigroup with an arbitrary total ordering. For any subset  $A \subseteq G$  and  $n \in G$ , let

$$r_A(n) = \#\{(a_1, a_2) \in A^2 : a_1 + a_2 = n\},$$
$$r_A^+(n) = \#\{(a_1, a_2) \in A^2 : a_1 + a_2 = n, a_1 \leq a_2\}$$

and

$$r_A^-(n) = \#\{(a_1, a_2) \in A^2 : a_1 + a_2 = n, a_1 < a_2\},$$

respectively. These representation functions have been studied by many authors (see, for example, the survey paper [7] for a picture of results in this area). An important problem is the inverse problem for representation functions, which seeks to understand sets  $A, B \subseteq G$  with the same representation function.

Nathanson [4] determined all pairs of sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r_A$  and  $r_B$  eventually coincide. Kiss *et al.* [2] extended Nathanson's result to 3-fold representation functions. In [3, 6, 8], the authors classified all subsets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r_A^+$  and  $r_{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}^+$  (respectively  $r_A^-$  and  $r_{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}^-$ ) eventually coincide. Nathanson [5] posed the following problem, which, to the best of our knowledge, is still open.

**PROBLEM 1.1.** Let  $t \geq 3$ . Does there exist a partition of the nonnegative integers into disjoint sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  whose representation functions  $r_{A_1}^+, r_{A_2}^+, \dots, r_{A_t}^+$  eventually coincide? Characterise all such partitions if they exist. The same problem can be posed for  $r_A^-$ .

---

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11101152.

© 2015 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2015 \$16.00

Analogously, for a finite abelian group  $G$ , one may ask the following question.

**PROBLEM 1.2.** Let  $t \geq 2$ . Does there exist a partition of  $G$  into disjoint sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  whose representation functions  $r_{A_1}, r_{A_2}, \dots, r_{A_t}$  coincide? Characterise all such partitions if they exist. The same problem can be posed for  $r_A^+$  and  $r_A^-$ .

For  $t = 2$ , we have a complete classification.

**THEOREM 1.3.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group with  $|G| \geq 2$  and  $A \subseteq G$ . Denote the 2-torsion subgroup of  $G$  by  $G_2 := \{g \in G : 2g = 0\}$ . Then:

- $r_A = r_{G \setminus A}$  if and only if  $|G|$  is even and  $|A| = |G|/2$ ;
- $r_A^+ = r_{G \setminus A}^+$  (respectively  $r_A^- = r_{G \setminus A}^-$ ) if and only if  $|G|$  is even, and  $|A \cap H| = |H|/2$  for every coset  $H$  of  $G_2$ .

We make some progress toward Problem 1.2 for  $r_A$  and  $t \geq 3$ . Our main result is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.4.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group and  $t \geq 3$  an odd number. Then it is not possible to partition  $G$  into  $t$  disjoint sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  with  $r_{A_1} = r_{A_2} = \dots = r_{A_t}$ .

We also pose the following conjecture.

**CONJECTURE 1.5.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group and  $t \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  form a partition of  $G$  with  $r_{A_1} = r_{A_2} = \dots = r_{A_t}$ ; then  $t$  divides  $|G_2|$ .

Problem 1.2 was also asked in [1] for  $h$ -fold representation functions and Theorem 1.4 gives a partial solution. Theorem 1.3 was also proved in [1]. We provide a new proof here, since the ingredients in the proof are also needed for proving Theorem 1.4.

## 2. Proof of results

Throughout this section,  $G$  is a finite abelian group. Our main tool is the generating function in the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  associated to a set  $A \subseteq G$ . Recall that the elements of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  are of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g x^g,$$

where  $a_g$  is a complex number for every  $g \in G$ . The multiplication in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is given by

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g x^g\right) \left(\sum_{g \in G} b_g x^g\right) = \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} a_{g_1} b_{g_2} x^{g_1 + g_2} = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{\substack{g_1, g_2 \in G \\ g_1 + g_2 = g}} a_{g_1} b_{g_2}\right) x^g.$$

For any subset  $A \subseteq G$ , write

$$f_A(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x^a \in \mathbb{C}[G].$$

Then

$$f_A(x)^2 = \sum_{n \in G} \left( \sum_{\substack{a_1, a_2 \in A \\ a_1 + a_2 = n}} 1 \right) x^n = \sum_{n \in G} r_A(n) x^n, \tag{2.1}$$

$$f_A(x)^2 + f_A(x^2) = \sum_{n \in G} \left( r_A(n) + \sum_{\substack{a \in G \\ 2a = n}} 1 \right) x^n = \sum_{n \in G} 2r_A^+(n) x^n \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$f_A(x)^2 - f_A(x^2) = \sum_{n \in G} \left( r_A(n) - \sum_{\substack{a \in G \\ 2a = n}} 1 \right) x^n = \sum_{n \in G} 2r_A^-(n) x^n. \tag{2.3}$$

We use  $\chi_A$  to denote the characteristic function of  $A$ , that is,

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A, \\ 0, & x \in G \setminus A. \end{cases}$$

For any function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and map  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ , let  $f^\varphi : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be defined as

$$f^\varphi(n) = \sum_{m \in \varphi^{-1}(n)} f(m), \quad n \in G'.$$

For any group homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ , we have a natural induced homomorphism of group algebras  $\varphi_* : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G']$ , namely

$$\varphi_* \left( \sum_{g \in G} a_g x^g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g x^{\varphi(g)} = \sum_{g \in G'} \left( \sum_{n \in \varphi^{-1}(g)} a_n \right) x^g.$$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3.** Let  $A \subseteq G$  and write  $B = G \setminus A$ . If  $r_A = r_B$ , then

$$|A|^2 = \sum_{n \in G} r_A(n) = \sum_{n \in G} r_B(n) = |B|^2 \tag{2.4}$$

and hence  $|A| = |B| = |G|/2$ . Now suppose that  $|G|$  is even and  $|A| = |G|/2$ . It follows from (2.1) that  $r_A = r_B$  if and only if

$$f_A(x)^2 = f_B(x)^2$$

or, equivalently,

$$(f_A(x) - f_B(x))(f_A(x) + f_B(x)) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

To see that (2.5) holds, decompose  $G$  into a direct sum of cyclic groups, say

$$G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}.$$

Fixing a generator  $g_i$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$  for every  $i$  and setting  $x^{g_i} = x_i$ , we thus obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k] / (x_1^{m_1} - 1, x_2^{m_2} - 1, \dots, x_k^{m_k} - 1).$$

Using this isomorphism,

$$f_A(x) + f_B(x) = \sum_{n \in G} x^n = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + x_i + \dots + x_i^{m_i-1}). \tag{2.6}$$

Let  $\bar{f}_A, \bar{f}_B \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]$  be an inverse image of  $f_A, f_B$  respectively under the projection map

$$\pi : \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_k]/(x_1^{m_1} - 1, x_2^{m_2} - 1, \dots, x_k^{m_k} - 1).$$

The value of  $\bar{f}_A(1, 1, \dots, 1)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\bar{f}_A$ , since the difference of two choices is a polynomial in the ideal  $(x_1^{m_1} - 1, x_2^{m_2} - 1, \dots, x_k^{m_k} - 1)$ , which vanishes at  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Thus, we see that

$$\bar{f}_A(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{n \in A} 1 = |A|$$

and similarly

$$\bar{f}_B(1, 1, \dots, 1) = |B|.$$

It follows that

$$\bar{f}_A(1, 1, \dots, 1) - \bar{f}_B(1, 1, \dots, 1) = |A| - |B| = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz states that if  $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]$  and  $P$  vanishes at some  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , then  $P$  is in the maximal ideal  $(x_1 - a_1, x_2 - a_2, \dots, x_k - a_k)$ . By (2.7) and Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz,  $\bar{f}_A - \bar{f}_B \in (x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1, \dots, x_k - 1)$ ; in other words,

$$\bar{f}_A - \bar{f}_B = \sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - 1)h_i \tag{2.8}$$

for some  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]$ . Applying the projection map  $\pi$  to (2.8) and multiplying by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} (f_A - f_B)(f_A + f_B) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - 1)\pi(h_i) \right) \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + x_j + \dots + x_j^{m_j-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left( (x_i^{m_i} - 1)\pi(h_i) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ j \neq i}} (1 + x_j + \dots + x_j^{m_j-1}) \right) \\ &= 0 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_k]/(x_1^{m_1} - 1, x_2^{m_2} - 1, \dots, x_k^{m_k} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.5) holds.

If  $r_A^+ = r_B^+$  (respectively  $r_A^- = r_B^-$ ), then

$$\binom{|A| + 1}{2} = \sum_{n \in G} r_A^+(n) = \sum_{n \in G} r_B^+(n) = \binom{|B| + 1}{2}$$

or, respectively,

$$\binom{|A|}{2} = \sum_{n \in G} r_A^-(n) = \sum_{n \in G} r_B^-(n) = \binom{|B|}{2}$$

and again we have  $|A| = |B|$ . Now suppose that  $|G|$  is even and  $|A| = |G|/2$ . Noting that we have already proved that  $f_A^2 = f_B^2$ , it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that  $r_A^+ = r_B^+$

(respectively  $r_A^- = r_B^-$ ) if and only if  $f_A(x^2) = f_B(x^2)$ . Consider the homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow 2G$  given by  $\varphi(x) = 2x$  for  $x \in G$ , where  $2G := \{2x : x \in G\}$ . The kernel of  $\varphi$  is  $\ker \varphi = G_2 = \{g \in G : 2g = 0\}$ . Since

$$f_A(x^2) = \sum_{n \in G} \chi_A(n)x^{2n} = \sum_{m \in 2G} \left( \sum_{n \in \varphi^{-1}(m)} \chi_A(n) \right) x^m = \sum_{m \in 2G} \chi_A^\varphi(m)x^m,$$

and similarly

$$f_B(x^2) = \sum_{n \in G} \chi_B(n)x^{2n} = \sum_{m \in 2G} \chi_B^\varphi(m)x^m,$$

it follows that  $f_A(x^2) = f_B(x^2)$  if and only if

$$\chi_A^\varphi(m) = \chi_B^\varphi(m)$$

for every  $m \in 2G$ . Note that

$$\chi_A^\varphi(m) = \sum_{n \in \varphi^{-1}(m)} \chi_A(n) = |A \cap \varphi^{-1}(m)|$$

and similarly  $\chi_B^\varphi(m) = |B \cap \varphi^{-1}(m)|$ . Thus,  $f_A(x^2) = f_B(x^2)$  if and only if

$$|A \cap H| = |B \cap H| = |H|/2$$

for every coset  $H = \varphi^{-1}(m)$  of  $G_2$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

We now proceed to prove Theorem 1.4. Our strategy is to study  $f_A$  under projections of  $G$  onto various cyclic groups.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $t \geq 3$  be an odd integer. Suppose that  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  form a partition of  $G$  with  $r_{A_1} = r_{A_2} = \dots = r_{A_t}$ . Then for any cyclic quotient map  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  with  $q$  a prime power,  $\chi_{A_i}^\varphi$  is a constant function on  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .*

**PROOF.** Write  $q = p^k$  with  $p$  prime and  $k > 0$ . With the same argument as in (2.4), we first conclude that  $|A_1| = |A_2| = \dots = |A_t|$ . The lemma is proved by induction on  $k$ .

For  $k = 1$ , let

$$g_{A_i} := \varphi_*(f_{A_i}) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{A_i}(g)x^{\varphi(g)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \left( \sum_{m \in \varphi^{-1}(n)} \chi_{A_i}(m) \right) x^n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \chi_{A_i}^\varphi(n)x^n$$

in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_p] \cong \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^p - 1)$ . In treating the divisibility of polynomials, we can consider  $g_{A_i}$  as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  by taking an inverse image in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . Since  $f_{A_i}^2 = f_{A_j}^2$  in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ , we have  $g_{A_i}^2 = g_{A_j}^2$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_p]$ , that is,  $x^p - 1 \mid g_{A_i}^2 - g_{A_j}^2$ . In particular,  $\Phi_p(x) \mid g_{A_i}^2 - g_{A_j}^2$ , where  $\Phi_m(x)$  denotes the  $m$ th cyclotomic polynomial. Note that  $\Phi_p(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $g_{A_i}$  also has integral coefficients; therefore, either  $\Phi_p(x) \mid g_{A_i} - g_{A_j}$  or  $\Phi_p(x) \mid g_{A_i} + g_{A_j}$ .

Note that

$$g_{A_i} \pm g_{A_j} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} (\chi_{A_i}^\varphi(n) \pm \chi_{A_j}^\varphi(n))x^n \pmod{x^p - 1}$$

and  $\Phi_p(x) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1}$ . Thus,  $\Phi_p(x) \mid g_{A_i} \pm g_{A_j}$  if and only if  $\chi_{A_i}^\varphi \pm \chi_{A_j}^\varphi$  is a constant function on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \chi_{A_i}^\varphi(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \chi_{A_j}^\varphi(n) = |A_i| = |A_j| = |G|/t,$$

$\chi_{A_i}^\varphi - \chi_{A_j}^\varphi$  is constant if and only if  $\chi_{A_i}^\varphi = \chi_{A_j}^\varphi$ , and  $\chi_{A_i}^\varphi + \chi_{A_j}^\varphi$  is constant if and only if

$$\chi_{A_i}^\varphi + \chi_{A_j}^\varphi = \frac{2|G|}{tp},$$

that is,

$$\chi_{A_j}^\varphi = \frac{2|G|}{tp} - \chi_{A_i}^\varphi. \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose on the contrary that  $\chi_{A_i}^\varphi$  is not a constant function. Assume that there are  $a$  sets  $A_j$  among  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  satisfying  $\chi_{A_j}^\varphi = \chi_{A_i}^\varphi$ , and the remaining  $A_j$  satisfy (2.9); then

$$\chi_G^\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{A_j}^\varphi = a\chi_{A_i}^\varphi + (t-a)\left(\frac{2|G|}{tp} - \chi_{A_i}^\varphi\right) = \frac{2(t-a)|G|}{tp} + (2a-t)\chi_{A_i}^\varphi.$$

Since  $t$  is odd,  $2a - t \neq 0$ ; we conclude that  $\chi_G^\varphi$  is not a constant function, which is clearly a contradiction.

For  $k > 1$ , we assume that the assertion holds for  $k - 1$ . Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-1}}$  be the canonical projection and  $\beta = \alpha \circ \varphi$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $\chi_{A_i}^\beta$  is a constant function for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ; thus,

$$1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{p^{k-1}-1} \mid \beta_*(f_{A_i})$$

and therefore

$$1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{p^{k-1}-1} \mid g_{A_i}, \tag{2.10}$$

where  $g_{A_i} = \varphi_*(f_{A_i})$ . Since  $g_{A_i}^2 = g_{A_j}^2$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_q]$ , we have  $x^q - 1 \mid g_{A_i}^2 - g_{A_j}^2$ . It follows that either  $\Phi_q \mid g_{A_i} - g_{A_j}$  or  $\Phi_q \mid g_{A_i} + g_{A_j}$ . By (2.10),

$$1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{p^{k-1}-1} \mid g_{A_i} \pm g_{A_j},$$

and  $x - 1 \mid g_{A_i} - g_{A_j}$ , since  $g_{A_i}(1) - g_{A_j}(1) = |A_i| - |A_j| = 0$ .

If  $\Phi_q(x) \mid g_{A_i} - g_{A_j}$ , then  $x^q - 1 \mid g_{A_i} - g_{A_j}$ . Since

$$g_{A_i} \pm g_{A_j} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} (\chi_{A_i}^\varphi(n) \pm \chi_{A_j}^\varphi(n))x^n \pmod{x^q - 1}, \tag{2.11}$$

$\chi_{A_i}^\varphi = \chi_{A_j}^\varphi$ . If  $\Phi_q \mid g_{A_i} + g_{A_j}$ , then

$$1 + x + \dots + x^{q-1} \mid g_{A_i} + g_{A_j}.$$

Again, by (2.11),  $\chi_{A_i}^\varphi + \chi_{A_j}^\varphi$  is a constant function and consequently

$$\chi_{A_j}^\varphi = \frac{2|G|}{tq} - \chi_{A_i}^\varphi.$$

With the same argument as in the case  $k = 1$ , we see that  $\chi_{A_i}^\varphi$  is a constant function for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group,  $|G| = p^k$  with  $p$  prime and  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume that for any cyclic quotient map  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ ,  $f^\varphi$  is a constant function. Then  $f$  is a constant function.*

**PROOF.** We use induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$ ,  $G$  is cyclic and the result follows from the assumptions.

Now let  $k > 1$  and assume that the assertion holds for all smaller cases. We may assume that  $G$  is not cyclic, otherwise the result again follows by assumption. For any subgroup  $0 \neq H < G$ , consider the quotient map  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G/H$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis to  $G/H$  and  $f^\varphi$ , we conclude that  $f^\varphi$  is a constant function. Thus, for any  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$\sum_{m \in (x+H)} f(m) = \sum_{m \in (y+H)} f(m). \tag{2.12}$$

Let  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r$  be all subgroups of  $G$  of order  $p$ . Since  $G$  is not cyclic,  $G$  has at least two direct summands; thus,  $r \geq 2$ .

It is clear that  $H_i \cap H_j = \{0\}$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ . Let  $G_p < G$  be the  $p$ -torsion subgroup. Every nonzero element of  $G_p$  belongs to exactly one  $H_i$ , while  $0$  belongs to every  $H_i$ . Let  $x, y \in G$  be such that  $x - y \in G_p$ . Summing over all cosets of  $H_i$  containing  $x$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{m \in (x+H_i)} f(m) = (r-1)f(x) + \sum_{m \in (x+G_p)} f(m) \tag{2.13}$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{m \in (y+H_i)} f(m) = (r-1)f(y) + \sum_{m \in (y+G_p)} f(m). \tag{2.14}$$

Applying (2.12) with  $H = H_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and summing,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{m \in (x+H_i)} f(m) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{m \in (y+H_i)} f(m). \tag{2.15}$$

Noting that  $x + G_p = y + G_p$ , it follows from (2.13)–(2.15) that  $f(x) = f(y)$ , that is,  $f$  is constant on each coset of  $G_p$ . For any  $x, y \in G$ , applying (2.12) with  $H = G_p$  yields

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{|G_p|} \sum_{m \in (x+G_p)} f(m) = \frac{1}{|G_p|} \sum_{m \in (y+G_p)} f(m) = f(y).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4.** Suppose on the contrary that there exists a partition of  $G$  into disjoint sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  such that  $r_{A_1} = r_{A_2} = \dots = r_{A_t}$ . It is clear that  $|A_i| = |G|/t$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $t$  and

$$H := \{g \in G : p^k \cdot g = 0 \text{ for some } k > 0\}.$$

Since  $H$  is a direct summand of  $G$ , let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  be the projection map. By Lemma 2.1,  $(\chi_{A_i}^\varphi)^\psi = \chi_{A_i}^{\psi \circ \varphi}$  is a constant function for any cyclic quotient map  $\psi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ . By Lemma 2.2, we conclude that  $\chi_{A_i}^\varphi = c \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a constant function. Thus,

$$|H| \cdot c = \sum_{n \in H} \chi_{A_i}^\varphi(n) = \sum_{m \in G} \chi_{A_i}(m) = |A_i| = \frac{|G|}{t}. \quad (2.16)$$

However,  $|G|/|H|$  is not divisible by  $p$  by definition of  $H$ , and  $p \mid t$ ; hence, (2.16) cannot hold. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her detailed comments, especially for pointing out the relevant work of Kiss *et al.* [1].

### References

- [1] S. Z. Kiss, E. Rozgonyi and C. Sándor, ‘Groups, partitions and representation functions’, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **85**(3–4) (2014), 425–433.
- [2] S. Z. Kiss, E. Rozgonyi and C. Sándor, ‘Sets with almost coinciding representation functions’, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **89** (2014), 97–111.
- [3] V. F. Lev, ‘Reconstructing integer sets from their representation functions’, *Electron. J. Combin.* **11** (2004), R78.
- [4] M. B. Nathanson, ‘Representation functions of sequences in additive number theory’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72**(1) (1978), 16–20.
- [5] M. B. Nathanson, ‘Inverse problems for representation functions in additive number theory’, in: *Surveys in Number Theory* (ed. K. Alladi) (Springer, New York, 2008), 89–117.
- [6] C. Sándor, ‘Partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions’, *Integers* **4** (2004), A18.
- [7] A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, ‘On additive representation functions’, in: *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős I* (eds. R. Graham *et al.*) (Springer, Berlin, 1997), 129–150.
- [8] M. Tang, ‘Partitions of the set of natural numbers and their representation functions’, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 2614–2616.

ZHENHUA QU, Department of Mathematics,  
Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, East China Normal University,  
500 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200241, PR China  
e-mail: zhqu@math.ecnu.edu.cn