# Quantum Fourier transform

The authors are grateful to Ronald de Wolf for reviewing this chapter.

# Rough overview (in words)

The quantum Fourier transform (QFT) is a quantum version of the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and takes quantum states to their Fourier-transformed version.

# **Rough overview (in math)**

The QFT is a quantum circuit that takes pure *N*-dimensional quantum states  $|x\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i |i\rangle$  to pure quantum states  $|y\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y_i |i\rangle$  with the Fourier-transformed amplitudes

$$y_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} x_l \exp(2\pi i k l/N) \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$
 (12.1)

# **Dominant resource cost (gates/qubits)**

The space cost is  $O(\log(N))$  qubits and the quantum complexity of the textbook algorithm is  $O(\log^2(N))$ . In terms of Hadamard gates, swap gates, and controlled phase shift gates  $|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes R_{\ell}$  with

$$R_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(2\pi i 2^{-\ell}) \end{pmatrix},$$

the quantum circuit is given in Fig. 12.1 (see also [801, Fig. 5.1]), where  $N = 2^n$ . The swap gates at the end of the circuit are required to reverse the order of the output qubits. The complexity can be improved to

$$O\left(\log(N)\log\left(\log(N)\epsilon^{-1}\right) + \log^2(\epsilon^{-1})\right)$$



Figure 12.1 Quantum circuit implementation of QFT.

when only asking for  $\epsilon$ -approximate solutions [486]. Finite constants and compilation cost for fault-tolerant quantum architectures are also discussed in the literature. For example, [795] gives an implementation with  $O(\log(N) \log \log(N)) T$  gates and estimates finite *T*-gate costs for different instance sizes.

### Caveats

- The QFT does not achieve the same task as the classical DFT that takes vectors  $(x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^N$  to vectors  $(y_0, \ldots, y_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^N$  with  $y_k$  defined as in Eq. (12.1). The DFT can be implemented via the fast Fourier transform in classical complexity  $O(N \log(N))$ , which is exponentially more costly than the quantum complexity  $O(\log^2(N))$  of the QFT. However, for the QFT to achieve the same task as the DFT, pure state quantum tomography would be required to read out and learn the Fourier-transformed amplitudes, which destroys any quantum speedup for the DFT.
- When QFT is employed in use cases, for example, for factoring, one has to be careful in finite-size instances when counting resources [943], and for this a semiclassical version of the QFT can be more quantum resource efficient [458].
- The QFT admits an efficient representation as a matrix product operator (a type of tensor network), meaning that the approximation improves exponentially in the bond dimension [262]. This suggests that quantum algorithms relying on the QFT for speedup must involve highly entangled input or intermediate states, in order to beat state-of-the-art tensor network methods.

# Example use cases

• Even though the QFT does not speedup the DFT, QFT is used as a subroutine in more involved quantum routines with large quantum speedup. Examples include quantum algorithms for the discrete logarithm problem, the hidden subgroup problem, the factoring problem, to name a few. The QFT can be seen as the crucial quantum ingredient that allows for a superpolynomial end-to-end quantum speedup for these problems. We discuss this in the context of quantum cryptanalysis in Chapter 6.

- The QFT appears in the standard circuit for quantum phase estimation, where it is used to convert accrued phase estimation into a binary value that can be read out.
- The QFT is used for switching between the position and momentum bases in grid-based simulations of quantum chemistry [601] or quantum field theories [588].

# **Further reading**

- Textbook reference [801, Section 5.1].
- The quantum Fourier transform can be generalized to other groups. The version presented above is for the group  $\mathbb{Z}/(2^n\mathbb{Z})$ . Its implementation for other abelian groups as well as nonabelian groups is discussed in [277] and the references therein.