



Regular Dilations on Kreĭn Spaces

Dan Popovici

Abstract. For bounded operators on Kreĭn spaces, isometric or unitary dilations always exist. We prove that any minimal isometric or unitary dilation has a precise geometrical structure. Moreover, a bounded operator T has a unique minimal unitary dilation if and only if T and T^* have unique minimal isometric dilation if and only if T is either contractive or expansive and T^* is either contractive or expansive. Passing to the bi-dimensional case, a minimal unitary extension (in short, m.u.e.) $U = (U_1, U_2)$ is obtained for a pair $V = (V_1, V_2)$ of commuting bounded isometries on a Kreĭn space. There is a link with the one-dimensional case: if U is an m.u.e. for V , then $U_1 U_2$ is an m.u.e. for $V_1 V_2$. Also, if $(V_1 V_2)^*$ is either contractive or expansive, then V has a unique minimal unitary extension. A minimal regular isometric dilation is obtained for a commuting pair $T = (T_1, T_2)$ of bounded operators on a Kreĭn space such that T_1, T_2 are contractions and T is a bidisc contraction or T_1, T_2 are expansions and T is a bidisc expansion. The existence of a minimal unitary extension is used to provide a minimal regular unitary dilation for T . Discussions about uniqueness and geometric structure conclude the article.

1 Introduction

One of the most fruitful directions of research in order to develop a suitable spectral theory for nonselfadjoint operators was opened by the theorem of Sz.-Nagy [56] on the existence of a unitary dilation for every contraction operator on a Hilbert space. The matrix construction for such dilations, proposed by Schäffer in [52], was the starting point to obtain their precise geometrical structure (cf. [29, 58, 61]).

The problem of finding isometric or unitary dilations for families of commuting contractions was proposed by Sz.-Nagy and solved in the case when the family in discussion is double commuting (cf. [57, 60]). Ando [2] proved that every pair of commuting contractions has isometric dilation. Unfortunately, Ando's result cannot be extended for arbitrary families of more than two contractions, according to the example given by Parrot [46].

Later developments show that the problem of finding a unitary dilation for a family $T = (T_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega}$ of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ can be reduced, by the Naimark theorem [45], to the possibility of extending the function

$$\mathbb{Z}_+^\Omega \ni n \mapsto T^n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$$

Received by the editors March 6, 2025; revised October 7, 2025; accepted October 27, 2025.

Published online on Cambridge Core November 3, 2025.

AMS subject classification: 47A20, 47B50, 47A13, 47A45, 46C20.

Keywords: Kreĭn space, unitary extension, isometric dilation, regular dilation.



to a positive definite one on $\mathbb{Z}^\Omega$. It was the idea of Brehmer [9] to consider the *regular extension*

$$\mathbb{Z}^\Omega \ni n \mapsto (T^n)^* T^n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$$

and to obtain the so-called *regular unitary dilations*. Their systematic study was completed and simplified later by Sz.-Nagy [59] and Halperin [30, 31]. In the multi-variable case, even supposing that the existence is assured, minimal isometric or unitary dilations are, in general, not unique. However, the minimality condition ensures the uniqueness of a regular isometric or unitary dilation. Regular dilation results have been used to provide models for commuting multi-operators [13, 47, 49, 63], in connection with intertwining liftings [26], von Neumann inequalities [6], [25], operator moment problems [50], Markov processes [41], completely contractive representations of product systems of correspondences [55], or in the context of right LCK semigroups [37].

The large class of applications involving dilation theory, for example, in operator interpolation problems, optimization, control, and systems theory (excellent references are given by the survey of Shalit [53] or by the books of Foiaş–Frazho [22], Foiaş–Frazho–Gohberg–Kaashoek [23] and Rosenblum–Rovnyak [51]), but also in prediction theory [35] motivate our work.

It is natural to assume that such a theory on spaces with indefinite metric (in particular on Kreĭn or Pontryagin spaces) will provide at least a similar set of applications. We should mention in this context that operators on Kreĭn have been used recently, for example, in machine learning [39, 40] or frame theory [17, 34, 38].

The following section (Section 2) is devoted to some preliminary facts concerning Kreĭn spaces, their Kreĭn subspaces, and bounded operators on such objects. Basic facts on the theory of Kreĭn spaces and operators on them are given in [3, 8, 32, 36]; to see also the excellent monograph [27].

One variable dilation theory on Kreĭn spaces is the subject of Section 3. The indefinite case started with the theorem of Davis [14] proving that every bounded operator on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ has a unitary dilation on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K}$ containing $\mathfrak{H}$ as a regular subspace. The result holds true even if we suppose that $\mathfrak{H}$ is a (more general) Kreĭn space, as showed by Dijksma–Langer–de Snoo [16] using Carathéodory-type representations for holomorphic operator functions, or by Constantinescu–Gheondea [10] following a Schäffer-type matrix construction. Geometric structure results are obtained for any minimal isometric or unitary dilation (Theorem 3.1). In such a generality, a minimal isometric dilation of a bounded operator T is unique (up to a unitary equivalence) if and only if T is either contractive or expansive [28]. We prove that T has a unique minimal unitary dilation if and only if T and T^* have unique minimal isometric dilations.

The main result of Section 4, the existence of a minimal unitary extension for every commuting pair of bounded isometries on a Kreĭn space, is based on a matrix construction similar to the one given by the author in [48, Theorem 3.3.1]. The Hilbert space case was obtained by Itô [33] (cf. also Brehmer [9] and Douglas [18]). The problem of finding conditions for the uniqueness of a minimal unitary extension reduces to the unidimensional case by the observation in [7] (on Hilbert spaces), and

extended here, that $U_1 U_2$ is a minimal unitary extension for $V_1 V_2$ if $U = (U_1, U_2)$ is a minimal unitary extension for $V = (V_1, V_2)$.

Two-variable dilation theory on Kreĭn spaces has also been considered earlier. The first result in this generalized context has been obtained by Azizov, Barsukov, and Dijksma in [4]. We should also remark that, in the Hilbert space case, Ando's theorem [2] was proved to be equivalent with the commutant lifting theorem given by Sz.-Nagy and Foiaş [62]. In the indefinite case, several versions of this last mentioned theorem have been obtained by Alpay [1], Baidiuk and Hassi [5], Constantinescu and Gheondea [10, 11], Driţchel [19], Driţchel and Rovnyak [21], or Dijksma, Driţchel, Marcantognini, and de Snoo [15]. Some of these proofs could lead to different Ando-type dilations. An excellent survey on this topic is presented in the paper [20] of Driţchel. There are attempts to a several (more than two) variable dilation theory on Kreĭn spaces (see, e.g., [42]). The theory of unitary extensions for pairs of Kreĭn space isometries has been initiated in the paper of Marcantognini and Moran [43].

The last section contains structure results for the minimal (regular) isometric dilation provided that such a dilation exists. The geometric structure given by Theorem 5.3 is the indefinite correspondent of some Hilbert space results appeared in [54] (for double commuting contractions) or, more generally, in [24] (for commuting contractive pairs having regular dilation). The most important result of the article is the existence of a minimal regular isometric dilation for every commuting pair $T = (T_1, T_2)$ of bounded operators on a Kreĭn space such that T_1, T_2 are contractions and T is a bidisc contraction or T_1, T_2 are expansions and T is a bidisc expansion (Theorem 5.6). If the conditions above are satisfied, a minimal regular isometric dilation is unique up to a unitary equivalence (Theorem 5.8). The unitary extension (obtained in Section 4) for a regular isometric dilation provides a regular unitary dilation (Corollary 5.7).

We remark that similar results also hold true for finite families of more than two commuting operators. These topics will be treated elsewhere.

2 Preliminaries on Kreĭn spaces

2.1 Kreĭn spaces, regular subspaces, and operators

A *Kreĭn space* is a complex linear space $\mathfrak{K}$ equipped with a Hermitian sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}}$ and having a decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{K}_-,$$

where $(\mathfrak{K}_{\pm}, \pm \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}})$ are Hilbert spaces (“ $\oplus$ ” denotes an orthogonal direct sum). Decomposition (2.1) is said to be a *fundamental decomposition* of the Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K}$ and, in general, it is not unique. It induces on $\mathfrak{K}$ a Hilbert space structure: if $P_{\pm}$ are the orthogonal projections onto $\mathfrak{K}_{\pm}$ and $J = P_+ - P_-$ (called a *fundamental symmetry* or *signature operator*) then $\mathfrak{K}$ becomes a Hilbert space (denoted $\mathfrak{K}_J$) when equipped with the inner product

$$\mathfrak{K}_J \times \mathfrak{K}_J \ni (x, y) \mapsto [x, y]_J := \langle Jx, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The strong topology of this Hilbert space is independent of the choice of a fundamental decomposition and is usually called the *Mackey topology of $\mathfrak{K}$* . All topological notions on a Krein space are to be understood with respect to this strong topology.

The cardinal numbers

$$\kappa^{\pm}(\mathfrak{K}) = \dim_{alg}(\mathfrak{K}_{\pm})$$

are the *positive* (respectively, *negative*) *indices of $\mathfrak{K}$* and are also independent of the choice of a fundamental decomposition. The *rank of indefiniteness* of $\mathfrak{K}$ is $\kappa(\mathfrak{K}) = \min \kappa^{\pm}(\mathfrak{K})$.

A *subspace* $\mathfrak{H}$ of a Krein space $\mathfrak{K}$ is a closed linear manifold of $\mathfrak{K}$. It is *positive* (respectively, *negative*) if

$$\langle h, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}} \geq 0 \quad (\text{respectively, } \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}} \leq 0),$$

for every $h \in \mathfrak{H}$. A positive (respectively, negative) subspace is said to be *maximal positive* (respectively, *maximal negative*) if it is not contained in a larger positive (respectively, negative) subspace. It is called *uniformly positive* (respectively, *uniformly negative*) if, for a certain $\delta_J > 0$,

$$\langle h, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}} \geq \delta_J \|h\|_J^2 \quad (\text{respectively, } \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}} \leq -\delta_J \|h\|_J^2), \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

Similarly, one defines *maximal uniformly positive* (respectively, *maximal uniformly negative*) subspaces.

The *orthogonal subspace* of $\mathfrak{H}$ is $\mathfrak{H}^{\perp} = \{k \in \mathfrak{K} \mid \langle h, k \rangle = 0, h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$. For each pair $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ of subspaces in $\mathfrak{K}$, we use the notation $\mathfrak{M} \perp \mathfrak{N}$ if $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{N}^{\perp}$, and $\mathfrak{M} \oplus \mathfrak{N}$ if the sum $\mathfrak{M} + \mathfrak{N}$ is closed, orthogonal, and direct. $\mathfrak{H}$ is said to be *regular* (or *ortho-complemented*) if $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}^{\perp}$.

Proposition 2.1 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathfrak{H}$ is regular.
- (ii) $\mathfrak{H}$ is a Krein space in the inner product inherited from $\mathfrak{K}$.
- (iii) There exists a fundamental symmetry J on $\mathfrak{K}$ such that $J\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ (hence $J\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}$).

Condition (ii) justifies the use of the term *Krein subspace* for any regular subspace.

If $T : \mathfrak{D}(T) \subset \mathfrak{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_2$ is a densely defined linear operator between Krein spaces $\mathfrak{K}_1$ and $\mathfrak{K}_2$, then its *Krein adjoint* $T^* : \mathfrak{D}(T^*) \subset \mathfrak{K}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_1$ is uniquely determined by the relation

$$\langle x, T^* y \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}_1} = \langle Tx, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}_2}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{D}(T), \quad y \in \mathfrak{D}(T^*).$$

The Krein adjoint T^* and the Hilbert adjoint $T^{\times}$ computed relative to fundamental symmetries J_1 (on $\mathfrak{K}_1$) and J_2 (on $\mathfrak{K}_2$) are related by $T^* = J_1 T^{\times} J_2$. If T belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$ (the set of all bounded linear operators between $\mathfrak{K}_1$ and $\mathfrak{K}_2$), then $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_2, \mathfrak{K}_1)$. Note that any fundamental symmetry J on a Krein space $\mathfrak{K}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ and $J^* = J^{\times} = J^{-1} = J$.

A linear operator $V : \mathfrak{D}(V) \subset \mathfrak{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_2$ is *isometric* if $\langle Vx, Vy \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}_2} = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}_1}$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{K}_1$. An isometric operator U between Krein spaces $\mathfrak{K}_1$ and $\mathfrak{K}_2$ is said to be *unitary* if $\mathfrak{D}(U) = \mathfrak{K}_1$ and $\mathfrak{R}(U) = \mathfrak{K}_2$.

An everywhere defined isometry is bounded if and only if its range is closed. Then, the range is a regular subspace. We deduce that any unitary operator is continuous. However, a densely defined Kreĭn space isometry may fail to have a continuous extension.

Two subspaces $\mathfrak{M}_1$ (of $\mathfrak{K}_1$) and $\mathfrak{M}_2$ (of $\mathfrak{K}_2$) are said to be *isometrically isomorphic* if there exists a boundedly invertible isometric operator $U : \mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2$. In this situation, $\mathfrak{M}_1$ is regular if and only if $\mathfrak{M}_2$ is regular. Note that two regular subspaces are isometrically isomorphic if and only if they have the same positive (respectively, negative) indices. Let us finally remark that definite Kreĭn spaces (their rank of indefiniteness is null) $\mathfrak{K}$ can be characterized by the fact that every unitary operator U on $\mathfrak{K}$ is power bounded ($\sup_n \|U^n\| < \infty$).

2.2 Hardy-type Kreĭn spaces and operator extensions

If $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_n$ is a finite family of mutually orthogonal regular subspaces of a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K}$, then the subspace $\mathfrak{M}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{M}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{M}_n$ is clearly regular. The result remains no longer true if the family is infinite. For a finite or infinite family $\{\mathfrak{K}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of Kreĭn spaces we can, however, compute their *external orthogonal direct sum* as the set $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{K}_n$ of all sequences $k = \{k_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $\sum_{n \geq 0} \|k_n\|_n^2 < \infty$ (here, for each n , the norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ is computed relative to a given *fundamental decomposition* of $\mathfrak{K}_n$). It becomes a Kreĭn space relative to the indefinite inner product $\langle \{h_n\}_n, \{k_n\}_n \rangle := \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle h_n, k_n \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}_n}$, $\{h_n\}_n, \{k_n\}_n \in \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{K}_n$. If $\mathfrak{K}$ is a Kreĭn space, then the external orthogonal direct sum of a family of identical copies of $\mathfrak{K}$ can be obviously identified with the Hardy-type Kreĭn space $H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T})$, of functions on the torus

$$z \mapsto f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n k_n, \quad \text{with } \sum_{n \geq 0} \|k_n\|^2 < \infty.$$

If our family is doubly indexed, we obtain similarly $H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

The following set of bounded operators will be frequently used in our constructions.

Let $\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2$ be given Kreĭn spaces:

- the multiplication by the independent variable z on the Hardy-type space $H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T})$:

$$(T_z f)(z) := z f(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}, f \in H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T}),$$

has the adjoint T_z^* given by

$$(T_z^* f)(z) := \bar{z}(f(z) - f(0)), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}, f \in H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T});$$

- the pair (T_{z_1}, T_{z_2}) of multiplications by coordinate functions z_1 and z_2 on $H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ – defined similarly;
- any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ can be extended to a bounded operator $[T]$ on $H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T})$ by

$$([T]f)(z) := T(f(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}, f \in H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T});$$

- any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$ can be extended to $[T]_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, H_{\mathfrak{K}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}))$ by

$$([T]_0 k_1)(z) := z^0 T k_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}, k_1 \in \mathfrak{K}_1;$$

its adjoint is given by

$$[T]_0^* f = T^*(f(0)), \quad f \in H_{\mathfrak{K}_2}^2(\mathbb{T});$$

- finally, any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$ can be extended to a bounded operator $[T]_i \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathfrak{K}_1}^2(\mathbb{T}), H_{\mathfrak{K}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$ by

$$([T]_i f)(z_1, z_2) := T(f(z_i)), \quad z_i \in \mathbb{T}, f \in H_{\mathfrak{K}_1}^2(\mathbb{T}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover,

$$([T]_i^* f)(z) = T^*(f(ze_i)), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}, f \in H_{\mathfrak{K}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad i = 1, 2$$

(here $e_1 := (1, 0)$ and $e_2 := (0, 1)$).

Their joint properties are mentioned in the following.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2, \mathfrak{K}'_1, \mathfrak{K}'_2$ be given Krein spaces. Then,*

- (i) *the map*

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}) \ni T \mapsto [T] \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathfrak{K}}^2(\mathbb{T}))$$

is a $$ -algebra homomorphism;*

- (ii) *the map*

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2) \ni T \mapsto [T]_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, H_{\mathfrak{K}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}))$$

is linear; moreover, for $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$, $[S]_0 T = [ST]_0$ (when $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_2, \mathfrak{K}'_2)$), $[S]_0^ [T]_0 = S^* T$ (when $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}'_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$), and*

$$[S]_0 [T]_0^* f = ST^*(f(0))z^0, \quad f \in H_{\mathfrak{K}_2}^2(\mathbb{T})$$

(when $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}'_2)$);

- (iii) *the map*

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2) \ni T \mapsto [T]_i \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathfrak{K}_1}^2(\mathbb{T}), H_{\mathfrak{K}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$$

is linear; moreover, for $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$, $[S]_i^ [T]_i = [S^* T]$ (when $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$) and*

$$([S]_i [T]_i^* f)(z_1, z_2) = ST^*(f(z_i e_i)), \quad z_i \in \mathbb{T}, f \in H_{\mathfrak{K}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad i = 1, 2$$

(when $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}'_2)$);

- (iv) *let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$. Then,*

- $[T]T_z = T_z[T]$ and $T_z[T]^* = [T]^*T_z$ (when $\mathfrak{K}_1 = \mathfrak{K}_2$);
- $[T]_0^* T_z = 0$;
- $[T]_i T_z = T_{z_i}[T]_i$, $T_z[T]_i^* = [T]_i^* T_{z_i}$ and $[T]_i^* T_{z_{3-i}} = 0$, $i = 1, 2$;

- (v) *let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}'_1, \mathfrak{K}'_2)$. Then,*

- $[S][T]_0 = [ST]_0$ (when $\mathfrak{K}'_1 = \mathfrak{K}'_2 = \mathfrak{K}_2$);
- $[S]_i [T] = [ST]_i$, $i = 1, 2$ (when $\mathfrak{K}_1 = \mathfrak{K}_2 = \mathfrak{K}'_1$);
- $[S]_1^* [T]_2 = [S]_2^* [T]_1 = [S^*]_0 [T^*]_0^*$ (when $\mathfrak{K}'_2 = \mathfrak{K}_2$);
- $[S]_1 [T]_0 = [S]_2 [T]_0$ (when $\mathfrak{K}'_1 = \mathfrak{K}_2$);
- $[T]_i [S]_0 k_1 = z_1^0 z_2^0 T S k_1$, $k_1 \in \mathfrak{K}_1$, $i = 1, 2$ (when $\mathfrak{K}_1 = \mathfrak{K}'_2$).

3 Isometric and unitary dilations for bounded operators

It is well known that any bounded self-adjoint operator A on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{A}$ can be factorized into the form

$$A = BB^*,$$

for a certain operator $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A})$ with zero kernel on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{B}$.

By a *defect operator* for $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$, $\mathfrak{K}_1$ and $\mathfrak{K}_2$ Kreĭn spaces, we mean an operator $D_T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{D}_T, \mathfrak{K}_1)$ with zero kernel on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{D}_T$ (called its *defect space*) such that

$$(3.1) \quad I - T^*T = D_T D_T^*.$$

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, $\mathfrak{H}$ Kreĭn space. An *isometric* (respectively, *unitary*) *dilation* of T is a bounded isometric (respectively, unitary) operator U on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K} \supset \mathfrak{H}$ satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \langle T^n h, h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \langle U^n h, h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}}, \quad h, h' \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

An isometric (respectively, unitary) dilation $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ is said to be *minimal* if $\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} U^n \mathfrak{H}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} U^n \mathfrak{H}$).

For bounded operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, minimal isometric (respectively, unitary) dilations always exist [10, 16]. A Schäffer-like matrix construction is still possible in these generalized settings [10].

Define $\mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}_T}^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then, an isometric dilation V of T on $\mathfrak{K}_+$ is given by the representation

$$(3.3) \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ [D_T^*]_0 & T_z \end{pmatrix}.$$

A minimal unitary dilation U of T on the Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K} = H_{\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}}^2(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}_T}^2(\mathbb{T})$ can be built in terms of a *Julia operator* or *elementary rotation* (to see [11, 12]) for T , i.e., a unitary operator of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} T & D_{T^*} \\ D_T^* & L \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{D}_{T^*}, \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{D}_T).$$

More precisely,

$$(3.4) \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} T_z^* & 0 & 0 \\ [D_{T^*}^*]_0^* & T & 0 \\ [L]_0 [I_{\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}}]^* & [D_T^*]_0 & T_z \end{pmatrix}.$$

As regarding the geometry of minimal dilations, we could mention the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)$ (respectively, $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$) be any minimal isometric (respectively, unitary) dilation of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.*

- (a) (i) $\mathfrak{L} = \overline{(V - T)\mathfrak{H}}$ is wandering for V (i.e., $V^n \mathfrak{L} \perp V^m \mathfrak{L}$, $n, m \geq 0$, $n \neq m$), regular, and isometrically isomorphic with $\mathfrak{D}_T$;

(ii) $M_+(\mathfrak{L}) = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} V^n \mathfrak{L}$ is regular and

$$(3.5) \quad \mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{H} \oplus M_+(\mathfrak{L});$$

(b) (i) $\mathfrak{L} = \overline{(U - T)\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^* = \overline{(U^* - T^*)\mathfrak{H}}$ are wandering for U , regular, and isometrically isomorphic, respectively, with $\mathfrak{D}_T$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}$;

(ii) $M_+(\mathfrak{L}) = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} U^n \mathfrak{L}$ and $M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*) = \bigvee_{n \leq 0} U^n \mathfrak{L}^*$ are regular and

$$(3.6) \quad \mathfrak{K} = M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*) \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus M_+(\mathfrak{L}).$$

Proof All the orthogonality properties involved here can be easily checked following the definition of an isometric or unitary dilation and, therefore, we shall omit the details.

Observe firstly that a successive application of the formula

$$Vh = Th + (V - T)h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}$$

will lead to

$$(3.7) \quad V^n h = T^n h + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} V^k (V - T) T^{n-k-1} h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, (3.5) holds. Consequently, $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$ is regular and, since $M_+(\mathfrak{L}) = \mathfrak{L} \oplus VM_+(\mathfrak{L})$, $\mathfrak{L}$ is also regular. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (V - T)h, (V - T)h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}_+} &= \langle h, h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} - \langle Th, Th' \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &= \langle (I - T^*T)h, h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &= \langle D_T^* h, D_T^* h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}_T}, \quad h, h' \in \mathfrak{H} \end{aligned}$$

shows that the map

$$\mathfrak{L} \ni (V - T)h \mapsto D_T^* h \in \mathfrak{D}_T$$

is well defined ($\mathfrak{D}_T$ is a Kreĭn space), isometric, densely defined, and with dense range. It is also injective (since $\mathfrak{L}$ is regular) and, therefore, $\kappa^\pm(\mathfrak{L}) = \kappa^\pm(\mathfrak{D}_T)$. Deduce that $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_T$ are isometrically isomorphic and the proof of (a) is complete.

To obtain (3.6), we apply (3.7) for (U, T) and then for (U^*, T^*) instead of (V, T) . Since $M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*)$ and $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$ are regular we show, as before, that $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^*$ are regular. A similar argument as for the minimal isometric dilation allows us to conclude that $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^*$ are isometrically isomorphic, respectively, with $\mathfrak{D}_T$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}$. ■

Corollary 3.2 Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ be any minimal unitary dilation of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{K}_+ = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} U^n \mathfrak{H}$$

is a regular subspace of $\mathfrak{K}$, invariant to U , and $V_+ = U|_{\mathfrak{K}_+}$ is a minimal isometric dilation of T .

Similarly,

$$\mathfrak{K}_- = \bigvee_{n \leq 0} U^n \mathfrak{H}$$

is a regular subspace of $\mathfrak{K}$, invariant to U^* , and $V_- = U^*|_{\mathfrak{K}_-}$ is a minimal isometric dilation of T^* .

Remark 3.3 • Proposition 2.1 gives another condition, usually used as an axiom in the isometric or unitary dilations definition: if $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ is any minimal isometric or unitary dilation of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, then $\mathfrak{H}$ is a regular subspace of $\mathfrak{K}$. Theorem above indicates the structure of its orthogonal complement. Therefore, (3.2) can be re-written as

$$T^n = P_{\mathfrak{H}} U^n|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $P_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathfrak{H}$. Another consequence of (3.2) is

$$T^{*n} = P_{\mathfrak{H}} U^{*n}|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

• Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)$ be a minimal isometric dilation of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. Then, for any $h, h' \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$\langle V^*h - T^*h, h' \rangle = \langle h, Vh' \rangle - \langle h, Th' \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V^*h - T^*h, V^n(V - T)h' \rangle &= \langle h, V^{n+2}h' \rangle - \langle h, V^{n+1}Th' \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle T^*h, V^{n+1}h' \rangle + \langle T^*h, V^nTh' \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the geometrical structure of $\mathfrak{K}_+$ given by (3.5), we deduce that $\mathfrak{H}$ is invariant to V^* and $V^*|_{\mathfrak{H}} = T^*$.

• Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ be a minimal unitary dilation of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. It is clear that $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$ is invariant to U and $M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*)$ to U^* . Since $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$ and $M_-(\mathfrak{L})$ are regular, $U|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})}$ and $U|_{M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*)}$ are *unilateral shifts* (according to the definition in [44]).

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{K})$, $\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{K}$ Kreĭn spaces, is a *contraction* (respectively, *expansion*) if

$$\langle Th, Th \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}} \leq \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \quad (\text{respectively, } \langle Th, Th \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}} \geq \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}), \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}$$

or, equivalently,

$$I - T^*T \geq 0 \quad (\text{respectively, } I - T^*T \leq 0).$$

Contractions (respectively, expansions) can be characterized by the fact that their defect spaces are Hilbert (respectively, anti-Hilbert) spaces.

Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$, $U' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}')$ be two minimal unitary dilations of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ and $V = U|_{\mathfrak{K}_+}$, $V' = U'|_{\mathfrak{K}'_+}$, where $\mathfrak{K}_+ = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} U^n \mathfrak{H}$, $\mathfrak{K}'_+ = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} U'^n \mathfrak{H}$ the corresponding minimal isometric dilations (according to Corollary 3.2). $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)$ and $V' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}'_+)$ are *unitarily equivalent* if there exists a unitary operator $\Phi: \mathfrak{K}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}'_+$ which intertwines V and V' (i.e., $\Phi V = V' \Phi$) and $\Phi|_{\mathfrak{H}} = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$. If, moreover, Φ can be extended to a unitary operator on $\mathfrak{K}$ onto $\mathfrak{K}'$ which intertwines U and U' , then $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ and $U' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}')$ are said to be *unitarily equivalent*.

A result by Gheondea and Popescu shows that minimal isometric dilations are, in general, not unique (up to a unitary equivalence).

Theorem 3.4 [28] *A bounded operator T on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$ has a unique minimal isometric dilation if and only if T is either contractive or expansive.*

The same kind of conditions holds for minimal unitary dilations.

Theorem 3.5 *$T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ has a unique minimal unitary dilation if and only if T is either contractive or expansive and T^* is either contractive or expansive.*

Proof Assume that, for example, T is contractive and T^* is expansive. Then, $\mathfrak{D}_T$ (and hence also $H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T})$) is a Hilbert space and $\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}$ (and hence also $H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}}(\mathbb{T})$) is an anti-Hilbert space.

Let U be the minimal unitary dilation of T given by (3.4) and acting on the Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K} = H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}}(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T})$. If $U' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ is any other minimal unitary dilation of T then, according to (3.6), $\mathfrak{K}'$ has an orthogonal decomposition of the form $\mathfrak{K}' = M_-(\mathfrak{L}'^*) \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus M_+(\mathfrak{L}')$, with $\mathfrak{L}' = (U' - T)\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'^* = (U'^* - T^*)\mathfrak{H}$.

Since, for arbitrary finite sequences $\{h_n\}_n$, $\{g_n\}_n$ of vectors in $\mathfrak{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sum_{n \geq 0} U'^n (U' - T)h_n, \sum_{m \geq 0} U'^m (U' - T)g_m \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{K}'} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle (U' - T)h_n, (U' - T)g_n \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}'} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle (I - T^*T)h_n, g_n \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n D_T^* h_n, \sum_{m \geq 0} z^m D_T^* g_m \right\rangle_{H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T})}, \end{aligned}$$

the mapping

$$M_+(\mathfrak{L}') \ni \sum_{n \geq 0} U'^n (U' - T)h_n \xrightarrow{\Phi_+} \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n D_T^* h_n \in H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T})$$

is well defined, and the linear operator Φ_+ is isometric, densely defined, and with dense range. It can be uniquely extended to a unitary operator $\Phi_+ \in \mathcal{B}(M_+(\mathfrak{L}'), H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T}))$ (since $H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T})$ is a Hilbert space). Similarly, we can define a unitary operator $\Phi_- \in \mathcal{B}(M_-(\mathfrak{L}'^*), H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}}(\mathbb{T}))$.

Then, $\Phi = \Phi_- \oplus I_{\mathfrak{H}} \oplus \Phi_+ \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}', \mathfrak{K})$ is unitary, $\Phi|_{\mathfrak{H}} = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\Phi U' = U \Phi$. Moreover, $\Phi \mathfrak{K}'_+ = \mathfrak{K}_+$ and, therefore, U and U' are unitarily equivalent.

Conversely, suppose that, for example, T is neither contractive nor expansive or, equivalently, the Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{D}_T$ is indefinite. If $Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{D}_T)$ is a unitary operator with $\|Z^n\| \xrightarrow{n} \infty$, then the matrix

$$U' = \begin{pmatrix} T_z^* & 0 & 0 \\ [D_{T^*}^*]_0^* & T & 0 \\ [L]_0 [I_{\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}}]^* & [D_T^*]_0 & T_z[Z] \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a minimal unitary dilation of T on $\mathfrak{K} = H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}}(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T})$. If T would have a unique minimal unitary dilation, then U' and the minimal unitary dilation U on $\mathfrak{K}$ given by (3.4) would be unitarily equivalent via a unitary operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ which leaves invariant $H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T})$. We get a contradiction since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Phi^*|_{H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T(\mathbb{T})}} T_z \Phi|_{H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T(\mathbb{T})}})^n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi^*|_{H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T(\mathbb{T})}} T_z^n \Phi|_{H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T(\mathbb{T})}}\| < \infty, \quad \text{while} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_z[Z])^n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Z^n\| = \infty. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 3.6 *T has a unique minimal unitary dilation if and only if T and T^* have unique minimal isometric dilations.*

4 Commuting isometric pairs and their unitary extensions

Using dilation theory, we can easily deduce that every bounded isometry V on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$ has a *unitary extension* U on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K}$ containing $\mathfrak{H}$ as a regular subspace which is *minimal* in the sense that

$$\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U^n \mathfrak{H}.$$

We just have to take the minimal isometric dilation of V^* given by (3.3) and observe that it is a unitary operator U^* on $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H^2_{\ker V^*}(\mathbb{T})$. More precisely, the linear operator given by the matrix

$$(4.1) \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} V & [P_{\ker V^*}^{\mathfrak{H}}]_0^* \\ 0 & T_z^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is a minimal unitary extension of V .

Remark 4.1 • $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ is a minimal unitary extension of $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if U^* is a minimal isometric dilation of V^* .

• If $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)$ is any minimal isometric dilation of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ is any minimal unitary extension of V , then U is a minimal unitary dilation of T .

• Suppose that V is the minimal isometric dilation of T given by (3.3) on the Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T})$. The minimal unitary extension U given by (4.1) on the Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H^2_{\mathfrak{D}_T}(\mathbb{T}) \oplus H^2_{\ker V^*}(\mathbb{T})$ is a minimal unitary dilation of T and its matrix representation depends only on T and on its corresponding defect operators (does not require the construction of a Julia operator or of an elementary rotation for T).

The following theorem explains the geometrical structure of minimal unitary extensions.

Theorem 4.2 *Let V be a bounded isometry on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ be any minimal unitary extension of V . Then,*

- (i) $\mathfrak{L}^* = \overline{(U^* - V^*)\mathfrak{H}}$ is wandering for U , regular, and isometrically isomorphic with $\ker V^*$;
- (ii) $M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*) = \bigvee_{n \leq 0} U^n \mathfrak{L}^*$ is a regular subspace of $\mathfrak{K}$ and

$$\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*);$$

- (iii) $M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*)$ is invariant to U^* and $U^*|_{M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*)}$ is a unilateral shift.

Proof The proof follows Theorem 3.1 (a) with the observation that U^* is a minimal isometric dilation of V^* . ■

Following Remark 4.1 and Theorem 3.4, we can characterize the uniqueness of a minimal unitary extension.

Theorem 4.3 Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, $\mathfrak{H}$ Krein space, be isometric. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) V has a unique minimal unitary extension;
- (ii) V^* is either contractive or expansive;
- (iii) $\ker V^*$ is either uniformly positive or uniformly negative;
- (iv) $\ker V^*$ is either Hilbert or anti-Hilbert space.

If $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$ is a minimal unitary extension of V , then $\mathfrak{K} \ominus \mathfrak{H}$ is a Hilbert or an anti-Hilbert space.

A pair $T = (T_1, T_2)$ of bounded linear operators on a Krein space $\mathfrak{H}$ is said to be *commuting* if $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$. T is called *double commuting* if T_1 commutes not only with T_2 , but also with its adjoint T_2^* . By the end of this article, any pair of bounded operators acting on a Krein space will be considered a commutative pair. In case the components T_1 and T_2 are clear from the context or they are not needed in the corresponding discussion, in order to avoid repetitions, we simply use the notation $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$ instead of $T = (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$. If $n = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $T = (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$, the notation $T^n = T_1^{n_1} T_2^{n_2}$ will be frequently used whenever the computations $T_1^{n_1}$ and $T_2^{n_2}$ make sense.

Definition 4.1 Let $V = (V_1, V_2)$ be a pair of commuting isometries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, $\mathfrak{H}$ a Krein space. A *unitary extension* of V is a commuting pair $U = (U_1, U_2)$ of unitary operators on a Krein space $\mathfrak{K}$ containing $\mathfrak{H}$ as a regular subspace such that U_1, U_2 extend, respectively, V_1, V_2 . U is said to be *minimal* if, in addition,

$$\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} U^n \mathfrak{H}.$$

Just to give an example, observe that the pair (T_{z_1}, T_{z_2}) of multiplications by coordinate functions z_1 and z_2 on a certain Hardy-type Krein space $H_{\mathfrak{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ can be extended by the commuting unitary pair (M_{z_1}, M_{z_2}) ,

$$f \xrightarrow{M_{z_i}} z_i f$$

on the L^2 -type Krein space $L_{\mathfrak{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ introduced in an obvious manner. This unitary extension is minimal.

Theorem 4.4 Let $V = (V_1, V_2)$ be a commuting pair of isometric operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. Then, the pair $U = (U_1, U_2)$ given by the matrix representation

$$(4.2) \quad U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & [P_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*} V_2]_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^* \\ 0 & [V_1(I - V_2 V_2^*)]_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*} + [V_2^*]_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*} T_z^* \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & [P_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*} V_1]_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^* \\ 0 & [V_2(I - V_1 V_1^*)]_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*} + [V_1^*]_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*} T_z^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is a minimal unitary extension of V on the Kreĭn space

$$\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

Proof Standard computations with operator matrices (in view of Proposition 2.2) show that, in fact, formulas (4.2) and (4.3) define commuting unitary operators on $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T})$. Obviously, U is a unitary extension of V .

It remains to show the minimality. We will actually prove an apparently stronger result, namely,

$$\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{n \leq 0} (U_1 U_2)^n \mathfrak{H}.$$

To this end, let $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. We see that

$$(U_1 U_2)^{*n} \left[(U_1 U_2)^* \begin{pmatrix} h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} (V_1 V_2)^* h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z^n P_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^{\mathfrak{H}} h \end{pmatrix},$$

hence,

$$H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T}) = \bigvee \left\{ (U_1 U_2)^{*(n+1)} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (U_1 U_2)^{*n} \begin{pmatrix} (V_1 V_2)^* h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid h \in \mathfrak{H}, n \geq 0 \right\},$$

which proves our claim. $\blacksquare$

Remark 4.5 The conclusion of the previous theorem also holds for arbitrary finite families $V = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)$ of commuting bounded isometries on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$. More precisely, the family $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$ given by

$$U_i = \begin{pmatrix} V_i & [P_{\ker(V_1 \dots V_n)^*}^{\mathfrak{H}} W_i|_{\ker(V_1 \dots V_n)^*}]_0^* \\ 0 & [V_i(I - W_i W_i^*)|_{\ker(V_1 \dots V_n)^*}] + [W_i^*|_{\ker(V_1 \dots V_n)^*}] T_z^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where $W_i = \prod_{j \neq i} V_j$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is a minimal unitary extension of V on the Kreĭn space

$$\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\ker(V_1 \dots V_n)^*}^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

For the Hilbert space case, we refer to [48, Theorem 3.3.1].

Proposition 4.6 If $U = (U_1, U_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})^2$ is a minimal unitary extension of the commuting isometric pair $V = (V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$, then $U_1 U_2$ is a minimal unitary extension of $V_1 V_2$.

Proof It is clear that, under the given hypothesis, $U_1 U_2$ is a unitary extension of $V_1 V_2$. Since, for $m \leq n \leq 0$, $U_1^m U_2^n \mathfrak{H} \subset (U_1 U_2)^m \mathfrak{H}$, we observe that

$$\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{m, n \leq 0} U_1^m U_2^n \mathfrak{H} \subset \bigvee_{m \leq 0} (U_1 U_2)^m \mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{K},$$

hence, $\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{m \leq 0} (U_1 U_2)^m \mathfrak{H}$, and the minimality of the unitary extension $U_1 U_2$ is proved. $\blacksquare$

In view of Theorem 4.2, we can deduce a geometrical structure for the minimal unitary extension.

Corollary 4.7 Let $U = (U_1, U_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})^2$ be any minimal unitary extension of the commuting isometric pair $V = (V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$. Then,

- (i) $\mathfrak{L}^* = \overline{((U_1 U_2)^* - (V_1 V_2)^*)\mathfrak{H}}$ is wandering for $U_1 U_2$, regular, and isometrically isomorphic with $\ker(V_1 V_2)^*$;
- (ii) $M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*) = \bigvee_{n \leq 0} (U_1 U_2)^n \mathfrak{L}^*$ is a regular subspace of $\mathfrak{K}$ and

$$(4.4) \quad \mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*);$$

- (iii) $M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*)$ is invariant to $(U_1 U_2)^*$ and $(U_1 U_2)^*|_{M_-(\mathfrak{L}^*)}$ is a unilateral shift.

Let $U = (U_1, U_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})^2$, $U' = (U'_1, U'_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}')^2$ be two minimal unitary extensions of the pair $V = (V_1, V_2)$ of commuting bounded isometries on $\mathfrak{H}$. U and U' are said to be *unitarily equivalent* if there exists a unitary operator $\Phi : \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}'$ which intertwines U_1 and U'_1 , respectively, U_2 and U'_2 and such that $\Phi|_{\mathfrak{H}} = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Theorem 4.8 Let $V = (V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$ be a commuting isometric pair such that $(V_1 V_2)^*$ is either contractive or expansive. Then, V has a unique minimal unitary extension.

Proof Assume that, for example, $(V_1 V_2)^*$ is contractive. Then, $\ker(V_1 V_2)^*$ (and, hence, also $H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2$) is a Hilbert space.

Let $U = (U_1, U_2)$ be the minimal unitary extension of V given by (4.2) and (4.3) and acting on the Krein space $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T})$. If $U' = (U'_1, U'_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}')^2$ is any other minimal unitary extension of V , then, according to (4.4), $\mathfrak{K}'$ has an orthogonal decomposition of the form $\mathfrak{K}' = \mathfrak{H} \oplus M_-(\mathfrak{L}'^*)$, with $\mathfrak{L}'^* = \overline{((U'_1 U'_2)^* - (V_1 V_2)^*)\mathfrak{H}}$.

Since, for arbitrary finite sequences $\{h_n\}_n$, $\{g_n\}_n$ of vectors in $\mathfrak{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sum_{n \geq 0} (U'_1 U'_2)^{*n} ((U'_1 U'_2)^* - (V_1 V_2)^*) h_n, \sum_{m \geq 0} (U'_1 U'_2)^{*m} ((U'_1 U'_2)^* - (V_1 V_2)^*) g_m \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{K}'} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n (I - V_1 V_2 (V_1 V_2)^*) h_n, \sum_{m \geq 0} z^m (I - V_1 V_2 (V_1 V_2)^*) g_m \right\rangle_{H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T})}, \end{aligned}$$

the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} M_-(\mathfrak{L}'^*) \ni \sum_{n \geq 0} (U'_1 U'_2)^{*n} ((U'_1 U'_2)^* - (V_1 V_2)^*) h_n &\xrightarrow{\Phi_+} \\ \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n (I - V_1 V_2 (V_1 V_2)^*) h_n &\in H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T}) \end{aligned}$$

is well defined, and the linear operator Φ is isometric, densely defined, and with dense range. It can be uniquely extended to a unitary operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}(M_-(\mathfrak{L}'^*), H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T}))$ (since $H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T})$ is a Hilbert space).

Then, $\Phi = I_{\mathfrak{H}} \oplus \Phi_+ \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}', \mathfrak{K})$ is unitary and $\Phi|_{\mathfrak{H}} = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$. Moreover, for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$,

$$\Phi U'_1 h = \Phi V_1 h = V_1 h = V_1 \Phi h = U_1 \Phi h,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi U'_1 ((U'_1 U'_2)^* - (V'_1 V'_2)^*) h \\ &= \Phi [(I - V_1 V_1^*) V_2^* h + ((U'_1 U'_2)^* - (V_1 V_2)^*) V_1 (I - V_2 V_2^*) h] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= z^0(I - V_1 V_1^*) V_2^* h + z V_1(I - V_2 V_2^*) h \\
&= U_1(z^0(I - V_1 V_2 V_1^* V_2^*) h) \\
&= U_1 \Phi((U_1' U_2')^* - (V_1 V_2)^*) h,
\end{aligned}$$

and, by a similar argument,

$$\Phi U_1'(U_1' U_2')^{*n}((U_1' U_2')^* - (V_1 V_2)^*) h = U_1 \Phi(U_1' U_2')^{*n}((U_1' U_2')^* - (V_1 V_2)^*) h,$$

for any positive integer n . Conclude that $\Phi U_1' = U_1 \Phi$ since they are continuous and coincide on a dense subset. By symmetry, we also obtain $\Phi U_2' = U_2 \Phi$ and, therefore, U and U' are unitarily equivalent. ■

Corollary 4.9 Let $V = (V_1, V_2)$ be a commuting isometric pair in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$. If $V_1 V_2$ has a unique minimal unitary extension, then V has a unique minimal unitary extension.

5 Regular dilations for commuting pairs

Definition 5.1 Let $T = (T_1, T_2)$ be a commuting pair of bounded operators on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$.

• An *isometric* (respectively, *unitary*) *dilation* of T is a pair $U = (U_1, U_2)$ of bounded commuting isometric (respectively, unitary) operators on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{K}$ containing $\mathfrak{H}$ as a Kreĭn subspace and satisfying

$$(5.1) \quad T^n = P_{\mathfrak{H}} U^n|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2.$$

• An isometric or unitary dilation $U = (U_1, U_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})^2$ of $T = (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$ is said to be *regular* if

$$(5.2) \quad (T^{n-})^* T^{n+} = P_{\mathfrak{H}} (U^{n-})^* U^{n+}|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Here, for $n = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the usual notations $n^+ := (\max\{n_1, 0\}, \max\{n_2, 0\})$ and $n^- := (-\min\{n_1, 0\}, -\min\{n_2, 0\})$ are used. Formula (5.2) is consistent with the dilation definition (5.1) which can be obtained for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ (in this case $n^- = (0, 0)$ and $n^+ = n$). If (5.1) holds true, then (5.2) is actually equivalent with

$$T_1^{*m} T_2^n = P_{\mathfrak{H}} U_1^{*m} U_2^n|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad m, n \geq 0.$$

• An isometric (respectively, unitary) dilation $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})^2$ of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$ is called *minimal* if $\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} U^n \mathfrak{H}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{K} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} U^n \mathfrak{H}$).

Remark 5.1 Suppose that the commuting pair $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$ has a minimal isometric (respectively, minimal regular isometric) dilation $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})^2$ be the minimal unitary extension of $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$ as constructed in Theorem 4.4. Then, U is a minimal unitary (respectively, minimal regular unitary) dilation of T .

As in the one-dimensional case, a minimal isometric dilation for a commuting pair T ensures the existence of a co-isometric extension for T^* .

Proposition 5.2 Let $V = (V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$ be a minimal isometric dilation of the commuting pair $T = (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$. Then, $\mathfrak{H}$ is invariant to $V^* = (V_1^*, V_2^*)$,

$$T^n P_{\mathfrak{H}} = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \quad \text{and} \quad T^* = V^*|_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

Proof For every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ and $h \in \mathfrak{H}$, the dilation definition shows that

$$T^n P_{\mathfrak{H}}(V^m h) = T^{n+m} h = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V^{n+m} h = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V^n (V^m h).$$

Since $\{V^m h \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2, h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{K}_+$ and $P_{\mathfrak{H}}, T, V$ are bounded, we actually deduce that

$$T^n P_{\mathfrak{H}} = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V^n.$$

We will prove that $V^{n*} h = T^{n*} h$, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ and $h \in \mathfrak{H}$. To this end, let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ and $h' \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then,

$$\langle V^{n*} h - T^{n*} h, V^m h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}_+} = \langle h, V^{n+m} h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{K}_+} - \langle T^{n*} h, T^m h' \rangle = 0.$$

Use again the minimality of V to obtain that $V^{n*} h = T^{n*} h$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{H}$ is invariant to V^* and $T^* = V^*|_{\mathfrak{H}}$. ■

Let $T = (T_1, T_2)$ be a pair of commuting bounded operators on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$. By a *defect operator* for T , we mean an operator $D_T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{D}_T, \mathfrak{H})$ with zero kernel on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{D}_T$ (called its *defect space*) such that

$$I - T_1^* T_1 - T_2^* T_2 + T_1^* T_2^* T_1 T_2 = D_T D_T^*.$$

T is said to be a *bidisc contraction*, respectively, *bidisc expansion* if

$$\langle T_1 h, T_1 h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \langle T_2 h, T_2 h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \leq \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \langle T_1 T_2 h, T_1 T_2 h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H},$$

respectively,

$$\langle T_1 h, T_1 h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \langle T_2 h, T_2 h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \geq \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \langle T_1 T_2 h, T_1 T_2 h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}$$

or, equivalently, the defect space of T is a Hilbert, respectively, an anti-Hilbert space.

In what follows, we shall use the notations $D_1 = D_{T_1}, D_2 = D_{T_2}, D = D_T$ for the defect operators and $\mathfrak{D}_1 = \mathfrak{D}_{T_1}, \mathfrak{D}_2 = \mathfrak{D}_{T_2}, \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}_T$ for the corresponding defect spaces.

As in the one-dimensional case, recall that a subspace $\mathfrak{L}$ is said to be *wandering* for a commuting isometric pair V if $V^n \mathfrak{L} \perp V^m \mathfrak{L}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2, n \neq m$.

Regarding the geometrical structure of a minimal regular isometric dilation, we could mention the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 Let $V = (V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$ be a minimal regular isometric dilation of a commuting pair $T = (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$. Then,

- (i) $\mathfrak{L}_1 = \overline{(V_1 - T_1)\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{L}_2 = \overline{(V_2 - T_2)\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{L} = \overline{(V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2)\mathfrak{H}}$ are regular, wandering, respectively, for V_1, V_2, V and isometrically isomorphic, respectively, with $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2, \mathfrak{D}$;
- (ii) $M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1) = \bigvee_{m \geq 0} V_1^m \mathfrak{L}_1, M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2) = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} V_2^n \mathfrak{L}_2, M_+(\mathfrak{L}) = \bigvee_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} V^p \mathfrak{L}$ are regular and

$$(5.3) \quad \mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{H} \oplus M_+(\mathfrak{L}) \oplus M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1) \oplus M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2);$$

- (iii) $M_+^i(\mathfrak{L}_i)$ is invariant to V_i and $V_i|_{M_+^i(\mathfrak{L}_i)}$ is a unilateral shift, $i = 1, 2$;
- (iv) $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$ is invariant to V and $V|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})}$ is a pair of double commuting unilateral shifts.

Proof As in the Hilbert space case [24], it is not hard to check that $\mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}$ are wandering, respectively, for V_1, V_2, V and $\mathfrak{H}, M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1), M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2), M_+(\mathfrak{L})$ are pairwise orthogonal. Therefore, we prefer to omit the details.

Proceed similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to obtain

$$(5.4) \quad V_1^m h = T_1^m h + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} V_1^k (V_1 - T_1) T_1^{m-k-1} h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad m \geq 1.$$

We use (5.4) in conjunction with the formulas

$$(5.5) \quad V_2 h = T_2 h + (V_2 - T_2) h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}$$

and

$$(5.6) \quad V_2(V_1 - T_1)h = (V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2)h + (V_1 - T_1)T_2 h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H},$$

applied successively, to finally get

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^m V_2^n h &= T_1^m T_2^n h \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq p \leq (m-1, n-1)} V^p (V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2) T_1^{m-1-p} T_2^{n-1-p} h \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} V_1^i (V_1 - T_1) T_1^{m-1-i} T_2^n h + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} V_2^j (V_2 - T_2) T_1^m T_2^{n-1-j} h, \\ &h \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, we firstly apply V_2 to (5.4) and then use (5.5) for $T_1^m h$ and (5.6) for $T_1^{m-k-1} h, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, instead of h . We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^m V_2 h &= T_1^m T_2 h + (V_2 - T_2) T_1^m h + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} V_1^k (V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2) T_1^{m-k-1} h \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} V_1^k (V_1 - T_1) T_1^{m-k-1} T_2 h. \end{aligned}$$

Following again (5.5) and (5.6) for the computation of the vectors $V_2 T_2 T_1^m h$ and, respectively, $V_2(V_1 - T_1) T_1^{m-k-1} T_2 h, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, another application of V_2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^m V_2^2 h &= T_1^m T_2^2 h + (V_2 - T_2) T_1^m T_2 h + V_2(V_2 - T_2) T_1^m h \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} V_1^k V_2 (V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2) T_1^{m-k-1} h \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} V_1^k (V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2) T_1^{m-k-1} T_2 h \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} V_1^k (V_1 - T_1) T_1^{m-k-1} T_2^2 h.
\end{aligned}$$

This iterative procedure is repeated n times.

Since, obviously, $\mathfrak{K}_+$ contains $\mathfrak{H}$, $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$, $M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1)$, and $M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2)$, we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{H} \vee M_+(\mathfrak{L}) \vee M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1) \vee M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2)$$

and, by orthogonality, (5.3) also holds.

It is then clear that $\mathfrak{H}$, $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$, $M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1)$, $M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2)$ are all regular and, since $M_+(\mathfrak{L}) = \mathfrak{L} \oplus V_1 V_2 M_+(\mathfrak{L}) \oplus V_1 (V_2 M_+(\mathfrak{L}))^\perp \oplus V_2 (V_1 M_+(\mathfrak{L}))^\perp$, $M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1) = \mathfrak{L}_1 \oplus V_1 M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1)$, $M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2) = \mathfrak{L}_2 \oplus V_2 M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2)$, we obtain that $\mathfrak{L}$, $\mathfrak{L}_1$, $\mathfrak{L}_2$ are also regular.

By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can deduce that $\mathfrak{L}_1$, $\mathfrak{L}_2$, $\mathfrak{L}$ are isometrically isomorphic, respectively, with $\mathfrak{D}_1$, $\mathfrak{D}_2$, $\mathfrak{D}$.

It is obvious that $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$, $M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1)$, $M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2)$ are invariant to V_1 , V_2 and, respectively, V and that $V_1|_{M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1)}$, $V_2|_{M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2)}$ are unilateral shifts. Since $M_+(\mathfrak{L}) = M_+^1(M_+^2(\mathfrak{L})) = M_+^2(M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}))$, we obtain that $V|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})}$ is a pair of commuting unilateral shifts which, moreover, doubly commute.

To this aim, we firstly note that it is only necessary to prove that $(V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^*$ and $V_2|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})}$ commute on the set $\{V_1^m V_2^n l \mid m, n \geq 0, l \in \mathfrak{L}\}$, which generates $M_+(\mathfrak{L})$.

Indeed, for $n \geq 0$,

$$((V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^* V_2) V_2^n l = (V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^* V_2^{n+1} l = 0 = (V_2 (V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^*) V_2^n l,$$

since $V_2^n l \in M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}) = \ker(V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^*$. Also, in view of the fact that $V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})}$ is isometric (i.e., $(V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^* V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})} = I_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})}$), the following equalities

$$((V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^* V_2) V_1^m V_2^n l = (V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^* V_1 V_1^{m-1} V_2^{n+1} l = V_1^{m-1} V_2^{n+1} l$$

and

$$(V_2 (V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^*) V_1^m V_2^n l = V_2 (V_1|_{M_+(\mathfrak{L})})^* V_1 V_1^{m-1} V_2^n l = V_1^{m-1} V_2^{n+1} l$$

hold true for every $m > 0$ and $n \geq 0$. ■

Corollary 5.4 Let $V = (V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})^2$ be a minimal regular isometric dilation of the commuting pair $T = (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}_1)$ is invariant to V_2 ;
- (ii) $M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}_2)$ is invariant to V_1 ;
- (iii) T is a bidisc isometry (i.e., $I - T_1^* T_1 - T_2^* T_2 + T_1^* T_2^* T_1 T_2 = 0$).

Proof The conclusion follows from the geometrical structure of $\mathfrak{K}_+$ given by the theorem above since

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{3-i} V_i^n (V_i - T_i) h &= V_i^n (V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2) h + V_i^n (V_i - T_i) T_{3-i} h, \\
&h \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}$$

and $(V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2)h = 0$, for all $h \in \mathfrak{H}$, if and only if $I - T_1^* T_1 - T_2^* T_2 + T_1^* T_2^* T_1 T_2 = 0$.

For the Hilbert space case, we refer to [24]. ■

For the rest of the article, we shall suppose that $T = (T_1, T_2)$ is a pair of commuting bounded operators on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$ such that T_1, T_2 are both contractive and T is a bidisc contraction or T_1, T_2 are both expansive and T is a bidisc expansion. Equivalently, the defect spaces $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2$, and $\mathfrak{D}$ are either Hilbert or anti-Hilbert spaces. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2, \|\cdot\|$ the Hilbert space norms, respectively, on $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2, \mathfrak{D}$.

Remark 5.5 (i) Observe firstly that

$$\begin{aligned} I - T_1^* T_1 - T_2^* T_2 + T_1^* T_2^* T_1 T_2 \\ &= D_1 D_1^* - T_2^* (I - T_1^* T_1) T_2 \\ &= D_1 D_1^* - (T_2^* D_1)(T_2^* D_1)^* \\ &= D_2 D_2^* - (T_1^* D_2)(T_1^* D_2)^*. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Use the inequality

$$\|D_1^* T_2 h\|_1 \leq \|D_1^* h\|_1 \quad (\text{respectively, } \|D_2^* T_1 h\|_2 \leq \|D_2^* h\|_2), \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}$$

to introduce a densely defined Hilbert space contraction on $\mathfrak{D}_1$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{D}_2$) by

$$(5.8) \quad R_2 D_1^* h = D_1^* T_2 h \quad (\text{respectively, } R_1 D_2^* h = D_2^* T_1 h), \quad h \in \mathfrak{H},$$

which can be extended, by continuity, to the whole space. In fact, the maps above are (under our Kreĭn space terminology) contractions if T is a bidisc contraction, respectively, expansions if T is a bidisc expansion.

(ii) Taking into account the operators R_1 and R_2 (defined by (5.8)), formula (5.7) can be re-written as

$$DD^* = (D_1 D_{R_2})(D_1 D_{R_2})^* = (D_2 D_{R_1})(D_2 D_{R_1})^*$$

or, equivalently, as

$$\|D^* h\| = \|D_{R_2}^* D_1^* h\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{R_2}} = \|D_{R_1}^* D_2^* h\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{R_1}}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

Hence, the linear operators $U_1 : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{R_1}$ and $U_2 : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{R_2}$ given by

$$(5.9) \quad U_1 D^* h = D_{R_1}^* D_2^* h \quad \text{and} \quad U_2 D^* h = D_{R_2}^* D_1^* h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}$$

are well defined unitary operators.

The next construction of a regular isometric dilation is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.6 *Let $T = (T_1, T_2)$ be a pair of commuting bounded operators on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$ such that T_1, T_2 are both contractive and T is a bidisc contraction or T_1, T_2 are both expansive and T is a bidisc expansion. The pair $V = (V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$ given by*

the matrix representation

$$(5.10) \quad V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_{z_1} & 0 & [U_1^* D_{R_1}^*]_2 \\ [D_1^*]_0 & 0 & T_z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & [R_1] \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} T_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_{z_2} & [U_2^* D_{R_2}^*]_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & [R_2] & 0 \\ [D_2^*]_0 & 0 & 0 & T_z \end{pmatrix}$$

is a minimal regular isometric dilation of T on the Kreĭn space

$$\mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}_1}^2(\mathbb{T}) \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

Proof Direct computations with matrices show that, for $i = 1, 2$, V_i is an isometric operator on $\mathfrak{K}_+$ if and only if $[D_i^*]_0 T_z = 0$, $[U_i^* D_{R_i}^*]_{3-i}^* T_{z_i} = 0$, $T_i^* T_i + [D_i^*]_0^* [D_i^*]_0 = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $[U_i^* D_{R_i}^*]_{3-i}^* [U_i^* D_{R_i}^*]_{3-i} + [R_i]^* [R_i] = I_{H_{\mathfrak{D}_{3-i}}^2(\mathbb{T})}$.

While the first two equalities hold true by Proposition 2.2 (iv), the last two are consequences of the conditions (ii), respectively, (iii) of the same proposition. Indeed, $[D_i^*]_0^* [D_i^*]_0 = D_i D_i^*$ and, hence, $T_i^* T_i + D_i D_i^* = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$, by (3.1). Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & [U_i^* D_{R_i}^*]_{3-i}^* [U_i^* D_{R_i}^*]_{3-i} + [R_i]^* [R_i] \\ &= [(U_i^* D_{R_i}^*)^* U_i^* D_{R_i}^* + R_i^* R_i] \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.2 (i) and (ii)}) \\ &= [D_{R_i} D_{R_i}^* + R_i^* R_i] \quad (\text{since } U_i \text{ is unitary}) \\ &= [I_{\mathfrak{D}_{3-i}}] = I_{H_{\mathfrak{D}_{3-i}}^2(\mathbb{T})}. \quad (\text{by (3.1)}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $V_1 V_2 = V_2 V_1$ if and only if $[U_1^* D_{R_1}^*]_2 [D_2^*]_0 = [U_2^* D_{R_2}^*]_1 [D_1^*]_0$, $[U_i^* D_{R_i}^*]_{3-i} T_z = T_{z_{3-i}} [U_i^* D_{R_i}^*]_2$, $T_z [R_i] = [R_i] T_z$ and $[D_i^*]_0 T_{3-i} = [R_{3-i}] [D_i^*]_0$, $i = 1, 2$. The first condition follows by Proposition 2.2 (v) and (5.9):

$$[U_i^* D_{R_i}^*]_{3-i} [D_{3-i}^*]_0 h = z_1^0 z_2^0 U_i^* D_{R_i}^* D_{3-i}^* h = z_1^0 z_2^0 D^* h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}, i = 1, 2.$$

The following two conditions are consequences of Proposition 2.2 (iv). The last equality uses Proposition 2.2 (v) and formula (5.8):

$$[D_i^*]_0 T_{3-i} = [D_i^* T_{3-i}]_0 = [R_{3-i} D_i^*]_0 = [R_{3-i}] [D_i^*]_0.$$

Moreover, by an inductive method, $V_2^{*n} V_1^m$ has the form

$$V_2^{*n} V_1^m = \begin{pmatrix} T_2^{*n} T_1^m & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & (T_{z_2})^{*n} (T_{z_1})^m & 0 & * \\ * & * & [R_2^{*n}] (T_z)^m & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (T_z)^{*n} [R_1^m] \end{pmatrix},$$

which proves that

$$T_2^{*n} T_1^m = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V_2^{*n} V_1^m|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad m, n \geq 0.$$

We can also obtain, by a similar argument, that

$$T_1^m T_2^n = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V_1^m V_2^n|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad m, n \geq 0.$$

Hence, V is a regular isometric dilation of T .

It remains to prove the minimality. To this end, take $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and observe that

$$(V_1 - T_1)h = (0, 0, [D_1^*]_0 h, 0).$$

Proceed inductively to show that

$$V_1^m (V_1 - T_1)h = (0, 0, (T_z)^n [D_1^*]_0 h, 0), \quad m \geq 0,$$

that is,

$$(5.12) \quad H_{\mathfrak{D}_1}^2(\mathbb{T}) = \bigvee_{m \geq 0} V_1^m (V_1 - T_1)\mathfrak{H}.$$

By symmetry, it also holds

$$(5.13) \quad H_{\mathfrak{D}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}) = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} V_2^n (V_2 - T_2)\mathfrak{H}.$$

Now, the relation

$$(V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2)h = (0, z_1^0 z_2^0 D^* h, 0, 0)$$

applied successively gives

$$V_1^m V_2^n (V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2)h = (0, z_1^m z_2^n D^* h, 0, 0), \quad m, n \geq 0,$$

that is,

$$(5.14) \quad H_{\mathfrak{D}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2) = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} V^n (V_1 V_2 - V_1 T_2 - V_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2)\mathfrak{H}.$$

Equations (5.12–5.14) show that the regular isometric dilation given by (5.10) and (5.11) is minimal. $\blacksquare$

Use Theorem 4.4, Remark 5.1, and Theorem 5.6 to obtain the following.

Corollary 5.7 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$ be as in Theorem 5.6 and $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$ be the minimal regular isometric dilation of T given by (5.10) and (5.11). Then, T has a minimal regular unitary dilation $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})^2$ given by (4.2) and (4.3) on the Kreĭn space*

$$\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}_1}^2(\mathbb{T}) \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}_2}^2(\mathbb{T}) \oplus H_{\ker(V_1 V_2)^*}^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

Let $V = (V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$ and $V' = (V'_1, V'_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}'_+)^2$ be two minimal regular isometric dilations of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$. V and V' are said to be *unitarily equivalent* if there exists a unitary operator $\Phi : \mathfrak{K}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}'_+$ which intertwines V_1 and V'_1 , respectively, V_2 and V'_2 and such that $\Phi|_{\mathfrak{H}} = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Theorem 5.8 *Let $T = (T_1, T_2)$ be a pair of commuting bounded operators on a Kreĭn space $\mathfrak{H}$ such that T_1, T_2 are both contractive and T is a bidisc contraction or T_1, T_2 are both expansive and T is a bidisc expansion. Then, T has a unique minimal regular isometric dilation (up to a unitary equivalence).*

Proof Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$ be the minimal regular isometric dilation of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})^2$ given by (5.10) and (5.11) on $\mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{H} \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}_1}^2(\mathbb{T}) \oplus H_{\mathfrak{D}_2}^2(\mathbb{T})$. If $V' = (V'_1, V'_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K}_+)^2$ is any other minimal regular isometric dilation of T then, according to (5.3), $\mathfrak{K}'$ has an orthogonal decomposition of the form

$$\mathfrak{K}'_+ = \mathfrak{H} \oplus M_+(\mathfrak{L}') \oplus M_+^1(\mathfrak{L}'_1) \oplus M_+^2(\mathfrak{L}'_2),$$

with $\mathfrak{L}' = \overline{(V'_1 V'_2 - V'_1 T_2 - V'_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2) \mathfrak{H}}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'_i = \overline{(V'_i - T_i) \mathfrak{H}}$, $i = 1, 2$.

The maps

$$M_+(\mathfrak{L}') \ni V'_1{}^m V'_2{}^n (V'_1 V'_2 - V'_1 T_2 - V'_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2) h \xrightarrow{\Phi} z_1^m z_2^n D^* h \in H_{\mathfrak{D}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2),$$

$$M_+(\mathfrak{L}'_1) \ni V'_1{}^m (V'_1 - T_1) h \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} z_1^m D_1^* h \in H_{\mathfrak{D}_1}^2(\mathbb{T})$$

and

$$M_+(\mathfrak{L}'_2) \ni V'_2{}^n (V'_1 - T_2) h \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} z_2^n D_2^* h \in H_{\mathfrak{D}_2}^2(\mathbb{T})$$

are well defined and can be extended by linearity to densely defined isometries with dense ranges. Since $H_{\mathfrak{D}}^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $H_{\mathfrak{D}_1}^2(\mathbb{T})$, and $H_{\mathfrak{D}_2}^2(\mathbb{T})$ are either Hilbert or anti-Hilbert spaces, the applications above can be extended to unitary operators.

A routine check shows that $I_{\mathfrak{H}} \oplus \Phi \oplus \Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2 : \mathfrak{K}'_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_+$ is unitary and intertwines V_1 and V'_1 , respectively, V_2 and V'_2 . Hence, V and V' are unitarily equivalent. ■

References

- [1] D. Alpay, *Dilatations des commutants d'opérateurs pour des espaces de Kreĭn de fonctions analytiques*. Annales de l'Institut Fourier 39(1989), 1073–1094.
- [2] T. Ando, *On a pair of commutative contractions*. Acta Sci. Math. 24(1963), 88–90.
- [3] T. Ando, *Linear operators on Kreĭn spaces*, Lecture note, Hokkaido University, Research Institute of Applied Electricity, Division of Applied Mathematics, Sapporo, 1979.
- [4] T. Y. Azizov, A. I. Barsukov, and A. Dijksma, *Decompositions of a Kreĭn space in regular subspaces invariant under a uniformly bounded C_0 -semigroup of bi-contractions*. J. Funct. Anal. 211(2004), 324–354.
- [5] D. Baidiuk and S. Hassi, *Completion, extension, factorization, and lifting of operators*. Math. Ann. 364(2016), 1415–1450.
- [6] S. Barik and B. K. Das, *Isometric dilations of commuting contractions and Brehmer positivity*. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 16(2022), Article no. 69.
- [7] C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn, and A. Lebow, *Representation and index theory for C^* -algebras generated by commuting isometries*. J. Funct. Anal. 27(1978), 51–99.
- [8] J. Bognár, *Indefinite inner product spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 78, Springer, New York, NY, 1974.
- [9] S. Brehmer, *Über vertauschbare Kontraktionen des Hilbertschen Raumes*. Acta Sci. Math. 22(1961), 106–111.
- [10] T. Constantinescu and A. Gheondea, *On unitary dilations and characteristic functions in indefinite inner product spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 24, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1987, pp. 87–103.
- [11] T. Constantinescu and A. Gheondea, *Minimal signature in lifting of operators I-II*. J. Oper. Theory 22(1989), 345–367. J. Funct. Anal. 103(1992), 317–351.
- [12] B. Ćurgus, A. Dijksma, H. Langer, and H. S. V. de Snoo, *Characteristic functions of unitary colligations and of bounded operators in Kreĭn spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 41, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989, pp. 125–152.
- [13] R. E. Curto and F. H. Vasilescu, *Standard operators models in the polydisc I-II*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42(1993), 791–810; 44(1995), 727–746.
- [14] C. Davis, *J-unitary dilations of a general operator*. Acta Sci. Math. 31(1970), 75–86.

- [15] A. Dijksma, M. Dritschel, S. Marcantognini, and H. de Snoo, *The commutant lifting theorem for contractions on Kreĭn spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 61, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1993, pp. 65–83.
- [16] A. Dijksma, H. Langer, and H. S. V. de Snoo, *Representations of holomorphic operator functions by means of resolvents of unitary or selfadjoint operators in Kreĭn spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 24, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1987, pp. 123–143.
- [17] R.-Q. Dong and Y.-Z. Li, *Dilations of (dual) frames for Kreĭn spaces*. Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process. 23(2025), Article no. 2450052.
- [18] R. G. Douglas, *On extending commutative semigroups of isometries*. Bull. London Math. Soc. 1(1969), 157–159.
- [19] M. Dritschel, *A lifting theorem for bicontractions*. J. Funct. Anal. 88(1990), 61–89.
- [20] M. Dritschel, *Contractions and the commutant lifting theorem in Kreĭn spaces*. In: D. Alpay (ed.), *Operator theory*, Springer, Basel, 2015, pp. 219–239.
- [21] M. Dritschel and J. Rovnyak, *Extension theorems for contraction operators on Kreĭn spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 47, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1990, pp. 221–305.
- [22] C. Foiaş and A. E. Frazho, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 44, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [23] C. Foiaş, A. E. Frazho, I. Gohberg, and M. A. Kaashoek, *Metric constrained interpolation, commutant lifting and systems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 100, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1998.
- [24] D. Gaşpar and N. Suciu, *On the geometric structure of regular dilations*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 103, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1998, 105–120.
- [25] D. Gaşpar and N. Suciu, *On the generalized von Neumann inequality*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 127, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 2001, pp. 291–304.
- [26] D. Gaşpar, N. Suciu, and D. Crăciunescu, *Intertwining liftings for bicontractions with regular dilations*. Acta Sci. Math. 66(2000), 305–326.
- [27] A. Gheondea, *An indefinite excursion in operator theory: Geometric and spectral treks in Kreĭn spaces*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 476 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2022.
- [28] A. Gheondea and G. Popescu, *Joint similarity and dilations for noncontractive sequences of operators*. J. Funct. Anal. 197(2003), 68–109.
- [29] I. Halperin, *The unitary dilation of a contraction operator*. Duke Math. J. 28(1961), 279–289.
- [30] I. Halperin, *Sz.-Nagy–Brehmer dilations*. Acta Sci. Math. 23(1962), 279–289.
- [31] I. Halperin, *Intrinsic description of the Sz.-Nagy–Brehmer dilation*. Stud. Math. 22(1963), 211–219.
- [32] I. S. Iokhvidov, M. G. Kreĭn, and H. Langer, *Introduction to the spectral theory of operators in spaces with an indefinite metric*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [33] T. Itô, *On the commutative, family of subnormal operators*. J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. 14(1958), no. 1, 1–15.
- [34] S. Jahan and P. S. Johnson, *Frame operators for frames in Kreĭn spaces*. Eur. J. Math. Anal. 4(2024), Article no. 1.
- [35] Y. Kakiyama, *Multidimensional second order stochastic processes*, Series on Multivariate Analysis, 2, World Scientific Publ. Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1997.
- [36] M. G. Kreĭn, *Introduction to the geometry of indefinite J -spaces and to the theory of operators in those spaces*. In: V. A. Marchenko and B. Y. Levin (eds.), *Second mathematical summer school, part I*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1965, pp. 15–92. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 93(1970), 103–176.
- [37] B. Li, *Regular dilation and Nica-covariant representation on right LCM semigroups*. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory. 91(2019), Article no. 36.
- [38] Y.-Z. Li and R.-Q. Dong, *Frames and dual frames for Kreĭn spaces*. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 45(2024), 355–372.
- [39] F. Liu, X. Huang, Y. Chen, and J. Suykens, *Fast learning in reproducing kernel Kreĭn spaces via signed measures, in proceedings of the 24th international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics, San Diego, California, USA*. In: A. Banerjee, and K. Fukumizu (eds.), *Proceedings of machine learning research*. Vol. 130. PMLR, Cambridge MA, 2021, pp. 388–396.
- [40] F. Liu, L. Shi, X. Huang, J. Yang, and J. Suykens, *Analysis of regularized least-squares in reproducing kernel Kreĭn spaces*. Mach. Learn. 110(2021), 1145–1173.
- [41] P. Loubaton, *Some properties of the regular minimal unitary dilation of a pair of commuting contractive operators. Application to the quarter plane Markovian representation problem of stationary processes on $\mathbb{Z}^2$* , Progress in Systems and Control Theory, 5, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 131–140.

- [42] S. A. M. Marcantognini, *Krein space unitary dilations of Hilbert space holomorphic semigroups*. Rev. Unión Mat. Argentina. **61**(2020), 145–160.
- [43] S. A. M. Marcantognini and M. D. Moran, *Commuting unitary Hilbert space extensions of a pair of Krein space isometries and the Krein-Langer problem in the band*. J. Funct. Anal. **138**(1996), 379–409.
- [44] B. W. McEnnis, *Shifts on indefinite inner product spaces*. Pac. J. Math. **81**(1979), 113–130.
- [45] M. A. Naimark, *Positive definite operator functions on a commutative group*. Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS (Ser. Math.). **7**(1943), 237–244.
- [46] S. Parrott, *Unitary dilations for commuting contractions*. Pac. J. Math. **34**(1970), 481–490.
- [47] D. Popovici, *On C_0 multi-contractions having a regular dilation*. Stud. Math. **170**(2005), 297–302.
- [48] D. Popovici, *Wold-type decompositions*, Eurostampa, Timișoara, 2006.
- [49] D. Popovici, *Polydisc contractions and regular dilations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **153**(2025), 1735–1749.
- [50] D. Popovici and Z. Sebestyén, *Sebestyén moment problem: The multidimensional case*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132**(2004), 1029–1035.
- [51] M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Hardy classes and operator theory*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, 1985.
- [52] J. J. Schäffer, *On unitary dilations of contractions*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6**(1955), 322.
- [53] O. M. Shalit, *Dilation theory: A guided tour*. In: M. A. Bastos, L. Castro, and A. Y. Karlovich (eds.), *Operator theory, functional analysis and applications*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, **282**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2021, pp. 551–623.
- [54] M. Słociński, *Isometric dilation of doubly commuting contractions and related models*. Bull. de l'Acad. Pol. Sci. **35**(1977), 1233–1242.
- [55] B. Solel, *Regular dilations of representations of product systems*. Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **108A**(2008), 89–110.
- [56] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Sur les contractions de l'espace de Hilbert*. Acta Sci. Math. **15**(1953), 87–92.
- [57] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Transformations de l'espace de Hilbert, fonctions de type positif Sur un groupe*. Acta Sci. Math. **15**(1954), 104–114.
- [58] B. Sz.-Nagy, *On Schäffer's construction of unitary dilations*. Ann. Univ. Budapest (Sect. Math.) **3**(1960–1961), no. 4, 343–346.
- [59] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Bemerkungen zur vorstehenden Arbeit des Herrn S.* Acta Sci. Math. **22**(1961), 112–114.
- [60] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Un calcul fonctionnel pour les contractions*. Seminaire dell' Instituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (1962–1963), Ediz. Cremonese, Rome, 1965, 525–534.
- [61] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaș, *Sur les contractions de l'espace de Hilbert. V. Translation bilatérales*. Acta Sci. Math. **23**(1962), 106–129.
- [62] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaș, H. Bercovici, and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. 2nd ed., Revised and enlarged edition, Springer, New York, NY, 2010.
- [63] D. Timotin, *Regular dilations and models for multi-contractions*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47**(1998), 671–684.

Department of Mathematics, West University of Timisoara, B-dul Vasile Pârvan 4, Timisoara, Romania
 e-mail: dan.popovici@e-uvt.ro