

ON CLOSED, TOTALLY BOUNDED SETS

M.G. Murdeshwar

C. Goffman asserts that "... in a metric space X a set S is compact if and only if it is closed and totally bounded." [1] and "... every totally bounded sequence in a metric space has convergent subsequence." [2].

The statements (incidentally, equivalent to each other) are both wrong, as the following counter-example shows. Take the set of all reals in the open interval $(0, 1)$ with the usual metric. This space is closed and totally bounded, but not compact.

It is well-known that the statements are true under the additional hypothesis of completeness of the space. In this paper, we prove that the statements are true only when the space is complete.

THEOREM. For a metric space (X, d) the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) (X, d) is complete.
- (2) Every closed and totally bounded subspace of X is compact.

Proof. (1) implies (2) is known. (see e.g. [3]).

(2) \implies (1): Let s be a cauchy sequence in X , and suppose s has no cluster points. Let $A = \{s(n) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the range of the sequence. Then (i) A is infinite, otherwise s would have a cluster point; (ii) A is closed, since A has no cluster points; (iii) A is totally bounded, because for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an N such that the ϵ -neighborhood of $s(N)$ contains all but finitely many points of A . By hypothesis, A is compact and hence has the Bolzano-Weierstrass property. Since A is infinite, A must have a cluster point, a contradiction. Thus every cauchy sequence in X has a cluster point and the space is complete.

REFERENCES

1. R. C. Buck, ed., Studies in Modern Analysis. The Mathem-

atical Association of America, (1962), page 151.

2. C. Goffman, *Real Functions*. Rinehart and Co., New York, (1960), page 63.
3. W.J. Pervin, *Foundations of General Topology*. Academic Press, New York, (1964), page 127.

University of Alberta, Edmonton